

Aspects of QCD Current Algebra on a Null Plane

S.R. Beane and T.J. Hobbs

Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195

ABSTRACT: Consequences of QCD current algebra formulated on a light-like hyperplane are derived for the forward scattering of vector and axial-vector currents on an arbitrary hadronic target. It is shown that current algebra gives rise to a special class of sum rules that are direct consequences of the independent chiral symmetry that exists at every point on the two-dimensional transverse plane orthogonal to the lightlike direction. These sum rules are obtained by exploiting the closed, infinite-dimensional algebra satisfied by the transverse moments of null-plane axial-vector and vector charge distributions. In the special case of a nucleon target, this procedure leads to the Adler-Weisberger, Gerasimov-Drell-Hearn, Cabbibo-Radicatti and Fubini-Furlan-Rossetti sum rules. Matching to the dispersion-theoretic language which is usually invoked in deriving these sum rules, the moment sum rules are shown to be equivalent to algebraic constraints on forward S-matrix elements in the Regge limit.

NT@UW-15-15

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Null-plane QCD constraints	5
2.1	Chiral symmetry and currents	5
2.2	Current algebra on the light-cone	6
2.3	Charge and moment algebras	8
2.4	Fundamental matrix element	11
3	Moment sum rules	13
3.1	Axial-Axial	13
3.2	Vector-Vector	15
3.3	Axial-Vector I	17
3.4	Axial-Vector II	19
4	Symmetries of the S-matrix	20
4.1	Regge model expectations	20
4.2	Dispersive representation	21
4.3	Regge limit amplitudes	21
4.4	Transverse multipole expansion	23
5	Discussion and Conclusions	23
A	Null-plane conventions	25
B	Nucleon scattering conventions	27
C	Nucleon form factor conventions	31

1 Introduction

Consequences of the chiral symmetries of QCD for nucleon structure are usually viewed impressionistically as arising from the presence of a “pion cloud” that surrounds the nucleon, whose quantitative physical effects can be calculated systematically using chiral perturbation theory (χ PT), an expansion in small momenta and quark masses [1, 2]. However, there are other consequences of QCD chiral symmetries that are difficult to access using effective field theory, as the chiral constraints occur in the form of sum rules which correlate many hadronic length scales [3–5]. These sum rules are profitably studied by considering matrix

elements of the QCD chiral symmetry currents and in particular of the Lie brackets that these currents obey on the light-cone. This paper provides a unified and self-contained derivation of a special class of chiral sum rules which constrain the scattering of currents on arbitrary hadronic targets in a manner consistent with QCD and its symmetries.

The sum rules that are obtained distinguish themselves from other current-algebra sum rules in that they are entirely consequences of symmetry. Many of the relations that we derive are known, however their modern interpretation is somewhat murky in the sense that the connection to the symmetries of the underlying theory is obscured. Consider, for example, the Gerasimov-Drell-Hearn (GDH) sum rule [6, 7] and the Adler-Weisberger (AW) sum rule [8, 9] for the nucleon. In the modern viewpoint, these sum rules are obtained as follows. One derives soft-photon and soft-pion theorems (the latter using χ PT), respectively, to determine a scattering amplitude at low incident photon or pion energy. The machinery of dispersion relations then relates the scattering amplitude at the special low-energy kinematical point to the integral of the imaginary part of the amplitude over all energies. The caveat here is that the convergence of the integral requires one to assume an asymptotic behavior of the scattering amplitude that is softer than that required by unitarity via the Froissart-Martin bound [10, 11]. As these sum rules are for forward scattering, the asymptotic behavior is governed by the Regge limit ($s \gg -t$) and therefore general assumptions that are suggested by models like Regge pole theory are invoked to motivate the desired asymptotic behavior. While this logic is unassailable, this point of view obscures the origin of the sum rule by introducing mysterious model dependence which is actually not present. Indeed, it will be shown in this paper that the asymptotic behavior of a class of amplitudes which scatter the QCD vector and axial-vector currents on arbitrary hadronic targets in the Regge limit is a direct consequence of the QCD algebra of currents. In practice, this implies that these scattering amplitudes in the Regge limit can be expressed in terms of null-plane QCD operator structures that vanish in the chiral symmetry limit.

A useful tool for establishing the chiral sum rules is null-plane quantization (front-form dynamics) [12–14]. For reasons that will be made clear in the text, in null-plane coordinates it is possible to give a transparent and frame-independent derivation of the sum rules¹. While the derivation of the sum rules is independent of considerations of the hadronic constituents, it is well known that the null plane formulation lends itself to an intuitive picture of a hadron in terms of partonic degrees of freedom².

It is useful to build some physical intuition to understand why chiral and gauge symmetries should lead to sum rules, and, in particular, to understand why null planes, which are quantization surfaces designed for the study of high-energy processes, are useful for obtaining these sum rules. As an example, consider the low-energy scattering of a pion with an

¹ The same results can be obtained in the usual equal-time quantization (instant-form dynamics) [12]. However, this requires one to work in special (fictitious) Lorentz frames in which the system is boosted to infinite momentum [15]. In addition to the lack of frame independence, there are subtle issues in taking the limit which sometimes lead to incorrect results [16].

²See, for instance, Ref. [17–19].

arbitrary hadronic target. In QCD this process is described in a model-independent manner using χ PT. Beyond leading order in χ PT, there arise operators whose couplings appear not to be constrained by chiral symmetry, and which must therefore be fixed by experiment or through lattice QCD simulations. As the momentum of the external pion gets larger there is some point at which the chiral expansion fails and predictive power is lost. Now if one chooses kinematics with fixed- t and large- s , then at large enough s , one expects that the scattering amplitude will behave as dictated by Regge lore. Therefore, as one continuously varies the momentum from low to high, the scattering amplitudes must change from polynomial growth in momentum, to soft behavior that is at least as soft as required by unitarity and sometimes softer. That this occurs is not entirely surprising as new degrees of freedom must be integrated in as momentum increases beyond new production thresholds. Nevertheless, these new states must have masses and couplings that are related to those of the pions and the hadronic target in order that the necessary cancellations take place which give rise to Regge behavior in the asymptotic limit [3–5, 20]. The procedure outlined above was carried out explicitly by Weinberg, who wrote down the most general chiral Lagrangian which gives rise to the scattering of pions on arbitrary hadronic targets in the forward limit, calculated the scattering amplitude involving the sum of all tree graphs and, extracted –via contour integration– the asymptotic behavior of the amplitude and found that it takes a manifestly Lie algebraic structure consistent with the full chiral symmetry group. Since the Lie algebraic form of the constraint appears as the coefficient of the leading term in a high-energy expansion, it is not particularly surprising that null-plane quantization becomes a useful tool to derive the sum rules directly from the QCD current algebra. Indeed, it will be shown that Weinberg’s results emerge as a special case when the sum rules are saturated with single-particle states.

The argument given above may seem inconsistent to the χ PT practitioner since the low-energy constants of χ PT are, naively, not constrained by chiral symmetry. That is, χ PT is constructed by choosing definitions of fields such that all of the chiral symmetry resides in the pion fields and all other fields transform according to the unbroken vector subgroup. As emphasized by Weinberg, this seeming contradiction arises because symmetries like chiral symmetry (and gauge invariance and general coordinate invariance) have two manifestations which lead to distinct constraints on physical observables. Weinberg has referred to these two kinds of symmetry constraints as “dynamical” and “algebraic”, respectively [3, 21]. A dynamical symmetry is a symmetry of the Lagrangian which is not a symmetry of the physical Hilbert space and manifests itself through low-energy theorems. An algebraic symmetry is a physical symmetry of Hilbert space and manifests itself through algebraic constraints on the S-matrix. It is interesting that one of these manifestations appears naturally in the instant-form of dynamics, while the other appears naturally in the front-form. Specifically, instant-form chiral symmetry constrains low-energy processes with pions on external lines via χ PT, whereas front-form chiral symmetry constrains the asymptotic behavior of a class of scattering amplitudes with pions on external lines. Indeed, there is an even more general kind of complementarity between the two descriptions. In the instant form, which is conveniently formulated in terms of Lorentz invariant, local quantum field theory, the dynamical conse-

quences of chiral symmetry are manifest, which, for instance, give rise to the consequences of chiral symmetry that are encoded in χ PT via Lagrangian field theory, while the algebraic consequences of chiral symmetry are enigmatic and therefore are usually assumed or modelled (for instance, using Regge pole theory). By contrast, in the front form, the situation is reversed; chiral symmetry constrains the asymptotic behavior of the S-matrix while Lorentz invariance and locality (in the longitudinal coordinate) are hidden in the same sense that the hadronic spectrum is hidden, and the chiral-symmetry transformation properties of the quark mass matrix, which lead to χ PT, are obscured. While physics is clearly independent of the choice of coordinates, one may suspect that in considering a theory like QCD, whose solution is unknown, the use of distinct quantization surfaces would prove fruitful. This paper provides evidence that this is indeed the case.

The null-plane description is tailored to describe hadrons or their constituent partons that are moving very fast (large longitudinal momentum p^+) relative to the vacuum ($p^+ = 0$). It is then difficult to visualize how spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry can occur on null-planes and communicate itself to the hadron and constrain its structure [22–24]. One of the drawbacks of the null-plane description has been the lack of a consistent formalism to think about chiral symmetry breaking. Building on earlier work [25–32], recent studies [33, 34] have considered fundamental issues of chiral symmetry breaking in detail in a model-independent manner, including a proof of Goldstone’s theorem and the issue of chiral condensates and how they appear on the null plane. In some sense, what follows is a continuation of this previous work with an emphasis on the observable consequences of chiral symmetry of the algebraic type which arise from considerations of the full current algebra.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2.1 establishes the necessary null-plane QCD notation. The QCD current algebra that gives rise to the chiral sum rules and its non-canonical modifications is obtained in Section 2.2, and current algebra is reduced to algebraic constraints on the moments of vector and axial-vector charge distributions on the transverse plane in Section 2.3. The basic kinematics and technology necessary for the sum rule derivations are set up in Section 2.4. The simplest moment sum rules are obtained in Section 3 for Lie brackets of axial-axial (Section 3.1), vector-vector (Section 3.2) and axial-vector (Section 3.3 and Section 3.4) type, both in the general case of arbitrary hadronic targets, and in the special case of a nucleon target. Section 4 re-expresses the sum rules in terms of the asymptotic behavior of the relevant forward scattering amplitudes in the Regge limit. This demonstrates that the asymptotic behavior derived from null-plane QCD is consistent with Regge lore and can be understood as arising directly from symmetry constraints on the S-matrix. In Section 5 the conclusions are summarized and discussed. Much of the technology and notation is taken from Ref. [33]. Basic null-plane coordinate conventions, and our conventions for the various form-factors and scattering amplitudes are relegated to appendices.

The reader who is familiar with history will find much that is familiar in this paper. However, while many of the results that are derived are known in the literature, they are quite distinct in origin, both as regards the technology with which they are derived and also as regards the manner in which they are applied. One of the goals of this paper is to provide a

unifying framework for the derivation of the sum rules whose content can be directly tracked to QCD symmetries.

2 Null-plane QCD constraints

2.1 Chiral symmetry and currents

Consider QCD with two degenerate flavors of light quarks. In the chiral limit of massless quarks this theory has an $SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R$ invariance

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow e^{-i\theta_\alpha T_\alpha} \psi(x) \quad , \quad \psi(x) \rightarrow e^{-i\theta_\alpha T_\alpha \gamma_5} \psi(x) \quad , \quad (2.1)$$

where $\psi(x)$ is the isodoublet quark field, and $T_\alpha = \tau_\alpha/2$. The corresponding instant-form Noether currents are

$$J_\alpha^\mu(x) = \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^\mu T_\alpha \psi(x) \quad , \quad J_{5\alpha}^\mu(x) = \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 T_\alpha \psi(x) \quad . \quad (2.2)$$

Both of these currents are conserved in the chiral limit.

In null-plane quantization (see Appendix A for coordinate conventions) the non-dynamical degrees of freedom are integrated out leaving behind the dynamical gluon field and the dynamical quark fields, $\psi^+ \equiv \Pi^+ \psi$, where the projection operator is defined as $\Pi^\pm \equiv \frac{1}{2} \gamma^\mp \gamma^\pm$ and $\gamma^+ \equiv \gamma \cdot n$ and $\gamma^- \equiv \gamma \cdot \bar{n}$. At equal null-plane time, the dynamical quark field satisfies

$$\{\psi_+(x), \psi_+^\dagger(y)\}|_{x^+=y^+} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Pi^+ \delta(x^- - y^-) \delta^2(\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{y}_\perp) \quad . \quad (2.3)$$

The presence of the $SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R$ invariance is of course independent of the choice of initial quantization surface and indeed in null-plane QCD the chiral transformations are

$$\psi_+(x) \rightarrow e^{-i\theta_\alpha T_\alpha} \psi_+(x) \quad , \quad \psi_+(x) \rightarrow e^{-i\theta_\alpha T_\alpha \gamma_5} \psi_+(x) \quad , \quad (2.4)$$

which give rise to the front-form (tilded) Noether currents $\tilde{J}_{(5)\alpha}^\mu(x)$. While the vector currents are independent of the choice of coordinates, $\tilde{J}_\alpha^\mu(x) = J_\alpha^\mu(x)$, the instant-form and front-form axial currents only share the + component, $\tilde{J}_{5\alpha}^+(x) = J_{5\alpha}^+(x)$, a reflection of the fundamentally important property that $\tilde{J}_{5\alpha}^\mu(x)$ is not conserved,

$$\partial_\mu \tilde{J}_{5\alpha}^\mu(x) \equiv \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{5\alpha}(x) = F_\pi M_\pi^2 \tilde{\pi}_\alpha(x) \quad , \quad (2.5)$$

even in the chiral limit [32–34]. It is important to stress that in null-plane quantization, the chiral limit should be taken only *after* taking matrix elements of the pion interpolating operator $\tilde{\pi}_\alpha(x)$. Using LSZ reduction it is easy to see that these matrix elements scale as M_π^{-2} [33], which immediately implies that the matrix element of the axial-vector current divergence is non-vanishing and independent of M_π .

In what follows only the null-plane vector and axial-vector charge distributions,

$$\tilde{J}_\alpha^\mu(x) = \bar{\psi}_+(x) \gamma^\mu T_\alpha \psi_+(x) = J_\alpha^\mu(x) \quad , \quad \tilde{J}_{5\alpha}^+(x) = \bar{\psi}_+(x) \gamma^+ \gamma_5 T_\alpha \psi_+(x) = J_{5\alpha}^+(x) \quad , \quad (2.6)$$

will be considered. For a complete catalog of notation, the reader should consult Ref. [33].

2.2 Current algebra on the light-cone

Following the canonical procedure, the null-plane time components of the vector and axial charge distributions are found to satisfy commutation relations at equal null-plane time³:

$$[\tilde{J}_\alpha^+(x), \tilde{J}_\beta^+(y)]|_{x^+=y^+} = i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \tilde{J}_\gamma^+(x) \delta(x^- - y^-) \delta^2(\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{y}_\perp); \quad (2.7)$$

$$[\tilde{J}_{5\alpha}^+(x), \tilde{J}_\beta^+(y)]|_{x^+=y^+} = i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \tilde{J}_{5\gamma}^+(x) \delta(x^- - y^-) \delta^2(\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{y}_\perp); \quad (2.8)$$

$$[\tilde{J}_{5\alpha}^+(x), \tilde{J}_{5\beta}^+(y)]|_{x^+=y^+} = i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \tilde{J}_\gamma^+(x) \delta(x^- - y^-) \delta^2(\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{y}_\perp). \quad (2.9)$$

As the charge distributions satisfy the chiral algebra at every point in space⁴, the associated symmetry is infinite dimensional. However, it is clear that there are important terms missing from the canonical procedure which must be present on general grounds [16, 35, 36]. The vacuum expectation values of the commutators of the currents have the well-known spectral decomposition [37]

$$\langle 0 | [J_\alpha^\mu(x), J_\beta^\nu(0)] | 0 \rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \int d\lambda^2 (g^{\mu\nu} - \partial^\mu \partial^\nu / \lambda^2) \rho_V^{(1)}(\lambda^2) \Delta(x; \lambda^2); \quad (2.10)$$

$$\langle 0 | [J_{5\alpha}^\mu(x), J_{5\beta}^\nu(0)] | 0 \rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \int d\lambda^2 \left[(g^{\mu\nu} - \partial^\mu \partial^\nu / \lambda^2) \rho_A^{(1)}(\lambda^2) - \partial^\mu \partial^\nu \rho_A^{(0)}(\lambda^2) \right] \Delta(x; \lambda^2) \quad (2.11)$$

where $\rho_{V,A}^{(S)}$ are the spin- S spectral functions, and the free-field commutator function is

$$\Delta(x; \lambda^2) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^4k \varepsilon(k^0) \delta(k^2 - \lambda^2) e^{-ikx} \Big|_{x^+=0} = -\frac{i}{4} \varepsilon(x^-) \delta^2(\mathbf{x}_\perp). \quad (2.12)$$

where $\varepsilon(z)$ is the sign function. The vacuum expectation values in Eqs. (2.10) and (2.11) cannot vanish due to positivity constraints. However, the vacuum expectation values of the right-hand sides of Eqs. (2.7) and (2.9) do vanish. Therefore the canonical commutators must be modified to:

$$[\tilde{J}_\alpha^+(x), \tilde{J}_\beta^+(y)]|_{x^+=y^+} = i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \tilde{J}_\gamma^+(x) \delta(x^- - y^-) \delta^2(\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{y}_\perp) - \frac{1}{4} i \delta_{\alpha\beta} \partial_-^x \partial_-^y [S^V \varepsilon(x^- - y^-) \delta^2(\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{y}_\perp)]; \quad (2.13)$$

$$[\tilde{J}_{5\alpha}^+(x), \tilde{J}_\beta^+(y)]|_{x^+=y^+} = i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \tilde{J}_{5\gamma}^+(x) \delta(x^- - y^-) \delta^2(\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{y}_\perp); \quad (2.14)$$

$$[\tilde{J}_{5\alpha}^+(x), \tilde{J}_{5\beta}^+(y)]|_{x^+=y^+} = i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \tilde{J}_\gamma^+(x) \delta(x^- - y^-) \delta^2(\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{y}_\perp) - \frac{1}{4} i \delta_{\alpha\beta} \partial_-^x \partial_-^y [S^A \varepsilon(x^- - y^-) \delta^2(\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{y}_\perp)]. \quad (2.15)$$

³Specifying the commutator at equal null-plane time is equivalent to specifying it on the light cone since with $x^+ = y^+$, $(x - y)^2 = -(\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{y}_\perp)^2 < 0$ and therefore the commutator vanishes by causality unless $(x - y)^2 = 0$.

⁴As the structure constants are highly singular, one can interpret this statement in the regulated sense where space is replaced by a set of discrete points and the commutators become, for instance, $[\tilde{J}_\alpha^+(i), \tilde{J}_\beta^+(j)] = i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \tilde{J}_\gamma^+(j) \delta_{ij}$.




Figure 1. The dots represent the projections of partons, or sources of vector and axial charge, onto the transverse plane, specified by the coordinates $\mathbf{x}_\perp = (x^1, x^2)$. The vacuum physics is at the boundary of the longitudinal coordinate, x^- .

Here $S^{V,A}$ are taken to be c-numbers, which is the minimal prescription which will satisfy the constraints of Eqs. (2.10) and (2.11). By matching one finds⁵

$$S_V = \int d\lambda^2 \rho_V^{(1)}(\lambda^2)/\lambda^2 ; \quad (2.16)$$

$$S_A = \int d\lambda^2 \left(\rho_A^{(0)}(\lambda^2) + \rho_A^{(1)}(\lambda^2)/\lambda^2 \right) . \quad (2.17)$$

Note that due to parity conservation, the mixed axial-vector commutator has no vacuum expectation value and therefore no non-canonical modification of the current algebra is necessary. Clearly the infinite-dimensional symmetry of the canonical current algebra is, in general, modified by these extra terms that represent vacuum physics at the boundary of the longitudinal coordinate (see fig. 1). Integrating over the longitudinal direction, one obtains new purely transverse currents

$$\tilde{F}_{(5)\alpha}(x) = \tilde{F}_{(5)\alpha}(x^+, \mathbf{x}_\perp) = \int dx^- \tilde{J}_{(5)\alpha}^+(x) \quad (2.18)$$

⁵Setting $S_V = S_A$ gives the first spectral function sum rule [38]. This is expected since the original derivation obtained this relation by equating the Schwinger terms of the (instant-form) time-space current commutators, which are felt by the $++$ null-plane current commutators at the tip of the light cone.

which satisfy the current algebra:

$$[\tilde{F}_\alpha(x), \tilde{F}_\beta(y)]|_{x^+=y^+} = i \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \tilde{F}_\gamma(x) \delta^2(\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{y}_\perp) ; \quad (2.19)$$

$$[\tilde{F}_{5\alpha}(x), \tilde{F}_\beta(y)]|_{x^+=y^+} = i \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \tilde{F}_{5\gamma}(x) \delta^2(\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{y}_\perp) ; \quad (2.20)$$

$$[\tilde{F}_{5\alpha}(x), \tilde{F}_{5\beta}(y)]|_{x^+=y^+} = i \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \tilde{F}_\gamma(x) \delta^2(\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{y}_\perp) . \quad (2.21)$$

These commutation relations, by construction, have no vacuum expectation value and satisfy an $\mathfrak{su}(2)_L \otimes \mathfrak{su}(2)_R$ algebra at each point in the transverse plane. It is this infinite-dimensional chiral symmetry on the transverse plane that is exploited in this paper; indeed all of the sum rules that are derived follow from these brackets.

One immediately wonders whether, in principle, singular terms involving purely transverse gradients could appear on the right-hand side of Eqs. (2.13-2.15). Such terms would lead to a breaking of the infinite-dimensional chiral symmetry on the transverse plane indicated by Eqs. (2.19-2.21), and leave only the global chiral symmetry satisfied by the chiral charges that must be present after integration over the transverse coordinates. It is straightforward to construct examples of such singular terms [39, 40]. For instance, consider the QCD Symanzik action [41], a continuum effective field theory of lattice QCD near the continuum limit, which in general contains a dimension-five operator, the Pauli term, which scales like the lattice spacing and gives the quarks a (chromo)magnetic moment [42]. This operator breaks chiral symmetry in the same way as the quark mass matrix and can be shown to contribute a transverse gradient to the right hand side of the current algebra in Eqs. (2.13-2.15). Of course this extra term violates scaling and vanishes in the continuum limit. Here the sum rules that are derived from Eqs. (2.19-2.21) can be viewed as a means to experimentally verify the hypothesis that these transverse gradient terms are not present in QCD.

The decoupling of the physics of the longitudinal dimension is a remarkable and well-known property of the null-plane formulation [19]. It of course leads to the masking of Lorentz invariance, as discussed in the introduction. However, the non-local light-like correlations that are introduced by integrating out a dimension of space do not lead to conflicts with causality. In null-plane quantization physics need not be local in $x^- - y^-$ as $(x - y)^2$ does not depend on $x^- - y^-$ when $x^+ = y^+$ (see footnote 4). Hence, causality is ensured by locality in the transverse coordinates alone, as is made manifestly clear in the form of Eqs. (2.13-2.15).

2.3 Charge and moment algebras

The null-plane chiral symmetry charges are defined by

$$\tilde{Q}_\alpha = \int dx^- d^2\mathbf{x}_\perp \tilde{J}_\alpha^+(x) , \quad \tilde{Q}_{5\alpha}(x^+) = \int dx^- d^2\mathbf{x}_\perp \tilde{J}_{5\alpha}^+(x) . \quad (2.22)$$

The axial-vector charge is null-plane time dependent as the axial-vector current is not conserved. These charges generate the $\mathfrak{su}(2)_L \otimes \mathfrak{su}(2)_R$ algebra:

$$[\tilde{Q}_\alpha, \tilde{Q}_\beta] = i \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \tilde{Q}_\gamma ; \quad (2.23)$$

$$[\tilde{Q}_{5\alpha}(x^+), \tilde{Q}_\beta] = i \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \tilde{Q}_{5\gamma}(x^+) ; \quad (2.24)$$

$$[\tilde{Q}_{5\alpha}(x^+), \tilde{Q}_{5\beta}(x^+)] = i \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \tilde{Q}_\gamma . \quad (2.25)$$

One can also form moments of the currents. Of particular interest are the following:

$$\tilde{d}_{(5)\alpha}^r(x^+) = \int dx^- d^2\mathbf{x}_\perp x^r \tilde{J}_{(5)\alpha}^+(x) \quad , \quad \tilde{r}_{(5)\alpha}^{rs}(x^+) = \int dx^- d^2\mathbf{x}_\perp x^r x^s \tilde{J}_{(5)\alpha}^+(x) \quad (2.26)$$

where $r, s = 1, 2$ are transverse spatial indices. The null-plane time dependence of the axial charge and of all the higher moments has been left explicit, as these operators are not conserved [33]. This is of crucial importance for all that follows, as only operators that depend on null-plane time will have non-vanishing matrix elements between states that exchange energy. In extracting the physical content from commutators of operators, matrix elements between physical states must be taken and the insertion of a complete set of states in the product of operators will be non trivial only if both operators depend on null-plane time. Hence, the matrix elements between physical momentum states of Eq. (2.23) and Eq. (2.24) are trivial and simply give information about the isospin transformation properties of matrix elements of charges, whereas the matrix element of Eq. (2.25) is highly non-trivial and provides powerful constraints on the manner in which chiral charge spreads out among the hadrons in the broken phase via pion-hadron scattering. Other non-trivial commutators among the moments and charges follow simply from the current algebra, Eqs. (2.19-2.21). The simplest non-trivial vector-vector commutator is:

$$[\tilde{d}_\alpha^r(x^+), \tilde{d}_\beta^s(x^+)] = i \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \tilde{r}_\gamma^{rs}(x^+) \quad . \quad (2.27)$$

This commutator provides constraints on the moments of the hadronic vector form factors via Compton scattering. The simplest non-trivial axial-vector commutator is:

$$[\tilde{Q}_{5\alpha}(x^+), \tilde{d}_\beta^r(x^+)] = i \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \tilde{d}_{5\gamma}^r(x^+) \quad , \quad (2.28)$$

which provides constraints on the moments of the hadronic axial-vector form factors via pion photoproduction. A second mixed axial-vector commutator,

$$[\tilde{Q}_{5\alpha}(x^+), \tilde{r}_\beta^{rs}(x^+)] = i \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \tilde{r}_{5\gamma}^{rs}(x^+) \quad , \quad (2.29)$$

constrains the axial radii of hadrons via pion electroproduction. This identification of non-trivial Lie brackets continues to hold for the commutator of any two null-plane-time-dependent operators that are constructed from the vector and axial-vector charge distributions. Hence it is useful to define the general moment operators

$$\mathcal{O}_{(5)\alpha}^{\Gamma(5)}(x^+) \equiv \int dx^- d^2\mathbf{x}_\perp \Gamma_{(5)} \tilde{J}_{(5)\alpha}^+(x) = \int d^2\mathbf{x}_\perp \Gamma_{(5)} \tilde{F}_{(5)\alpha}(x) \quad , \quad (2.30)$$

where $\Gamma_{(5)} = \Gamma_{(5)}(\mathbf{x}_\perp)$ is a function of the transverse coordinates and can be a tensor of arbitrary rank. With $\Gamma_5 = \Gamma = \mathbf{1}$, these operators reduce to the null-plane axial and vector charges. The moment operators then satisfy an algebra that is equivalent to that of Eqs. (2.19-2.21)

$$[\mathcal{O}_\alpha^\Gamma(x^+), \mathcal{O}_\beta^{\bar{\Gamma}}(x^+)] = i \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \mathcal{O}_\gamma^{\Gamma\bar{\Gamma}}(x^+) \quad ; \quad (2.31)$$

$$[\mathcal{O}_{5\alpha}^{\Gamma_5}(x^+), \mathcal{O}_\beta^\Gamma(x^+)] = i \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \mathcal{O}_{5\gamma}^{\Gamma_5\Gamma}(x^+) \quad ; \quad (2.32)$$

$$[\mathcal{O}_{5\alpha}^{\Gamma_5}(x^+), \mathcal{O}_{5\beta}^{\bar{\Gamma}_5}(x^+)] = i \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \mathcal{O}_\gamma^{\Gamma_5\bar{\Gamma}_5}(x^+) \quad . \quad (2.33)$$

The commutators hold globally and the infinite-dimensionality arises from the arbitrary choice of the moments via $\Gamma_{(5)}$ and $\bar{\Gamma}_{(5)}$. It is straightforward to express the moment algebra as a closed algebraic system by working in the basis of the circular harmonics, $e^{i\ell\theta}$, where ℓ is the angular momentum on the plane. An arbitrary tensor in the transverse coordinates, $x^r x^s \dots x^t$, can be decomposed into circular harmonics by contracting with the two-dimensional vectors $\mathbf{e}_\perp^r = (1, i)$ and $\bar{\mathbf{e}}_\perp^r = (1, -i)$, whose product, $\bar{\mathbf{e}}_\perp^r \mathbf{e}_\perp^s = \delta^{rs} + i\epsilon^{rs}$, contains the two $SO(2)$ tensors. In circular coordinates, $x^1 = r \cos \theta$ and $x^2 = r \sin \theta$ where $r = |\mathbf{x}_\perp|$. Therefore, the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic transverse coordinates are $z \equiv \mathbf{e}_\perp^r x^r = x^1 + ix^2 = re^{i\theta}$ and $\bar{z} \equiv \bar{\mathbf{e}}_\perp^r x^r = x^1 - ix^2 = re^{-i\theta}$. The moments defined as

$$\mathcal{O}_{(5)\alpha}^{m,\bar{m}}(x^+) = \int d^2\mathbf{x}_\perp z^m \bar{z}^{\bar{m}} \tilde{F}_{(5)\alpha}(x) , \quad (2.34)$$

with $m, \bar{m} \in \mathbf{Z}$ satisfy the closed algebra

$$[\mathcal{O}_\alpha^{m,\bar{m}}(x^+), \mathcal{O}_\beta^{n,\bar{n}}(x^+)] = i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \mathcal{O}_\gamma^{m+n,\bar{m}+\bar{n}}(x^+) ; \quad (2.35)$$

$$[\mathcal{O}_{5\alpha}^{m,\bar{m}}(x^+), \mathcal{O}_\beta^{n,\bar{n}}(x^+)] = i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \mathcal{O}_{5\gamma}^{m+n,\bar{m}+\bar{n}}(x^+) ; \quad (2.36)$$

$$[\mathcal{O}_{5\alpha}^{m,\bar{m}}(x^+), \mathcal{O}_{5\beta}^{n,\bar{n}}(x^+)] = i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \mathcal{O}_\gamma^{m+n,\bar{m}+\bar{n}}(x^+) . \quad (2.37)$$

The helicity content of each of the Lie brackets is governed by the circular harmonic with $\ell = m + n - \bar{m} - \bar{n}$. The holomorphic (anti-holomorphic) sector with $\bar{m} = \bar{n} = 0$ ($m = n = 0$) corresponds to the maximal (minimal) helicity. For instance, Eq. (2.27) is contained in Eq. (2.35) with $n = m = 1$, $\bar{m} = \bar{n} = 0$ corresponding to $\ell = 2$, $n = m = 0$, $\bar{m} = \bar{n} = 1$ corresponding to $\ell = -2$, and $m = \bar{n} = 1$, $n = \bar{m} = 0$ and $m = \bar{n} = 0$, $n = \bar{m} = 1$ corresponding to $\ell = 0$.

Evidently the algebra of Eqs. (2.35-2.37) corresponds to a mapping of the transverse plane to the chiral group $SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R$. It is important to stress that, despite the presence of the algebra, only the isospin charges are conserved quantities. It is the null-plane time dependence of the operators which give rise to non-trivial constraints on S-matrix elements. In the limit of unit radius, $\bar{z} = z^{-1}$, this algebra reduces to the mapping of the unit circle to the chiral group and is thus an untwisted affine Kac-Moody algebra without central charge (loop algebra), $\widehat{\mathfrak{su}}(2)_L \otimes \widehat{\mathfrak{su}}(2)_R$ [43, 44]. The moments with unit radius are unrelated to forward scattering.

Extracting information from the moments of the currents rather than the currents themselves is a matter of choice. Indeed all of the sum rules that follow from the moment algebra can be obtained by considering matrix elements of the commutators of currents (null-plane time and space components) and then Taylor expanding in the momentum transfer variable. We choose to work with the moments as they are well-defined objects that obey a hierarchy of scales on the transverse plane and satisfy a non-singular closed algebra. In addition, there is a subtle commutation of limits in working directly with the currents which we choose to avoid [16].




Figure 2. Basic forward kinematics of the moment sum rules. The vertical dashed line indicates that only physical states are present as intermediate states. The process therefore describes a pion (π^α) or an isovector photon (γ) scattering in the forward direction from a hadronic target h to give a pion or an isovector photon and a hadron h' .

2.4 Fundamental matrix element

In order to extract physics from the Lie brackets satisfied by the moments, one must take matrix elements of the commutators between hadron states h' and h ⁶. The fundamental matrix element to be evaluated is:

$$\langle h', \lambda'; p'^+, \mathbf{p}'_\perp | \mathcal{O}_{(5)\alpha}^{\Gamma(5)}(x^+) \mathcal{O}_{(5)\beta}^{\bar{\Gamma}(5)}(x^+) | h, \lambda; p^+, \mathbf{p}_\perp \rangle. \quad (2.38)$$

This matrix element of the product of moment operators is exhibited pictorially in fig. 2. Details regarding the null-plane momentum states are relegated to Appendix A. While general sum rules will be derived for arbitrary structure functions, the choice of pions coupling to the axial-vector current and isovector photons coupling to the vector current will then be made, both impinging on nucleon targets. As will be seen in detail, the blob in fig. 2 corresponds to the imaginary part of the forward scattering amplitude (and its derivatives) for pion scattering, Compton scattering and pion photo/electroproduction. In the forward limit ($t = 0$), we choose a coordinate system with four-momenta assigned as in fig. 2: $q = (0, \mathbf{0}, q^-)$, $q' = (0, \mathbf{0}, q'^-)$, $p = (p^+, \mathbf{p}_\perp, p^-)$, and $p' = (p^+, \mathbf{p}_\perp, p'^-)$. Therefore, the Mandelstam variables

⁶It is understood that these matrix elements will be non-vanishing only if allowed by QCD symmetries. For instance, if h is a baryon, then so is h' .

are:

$$s = (p + q)^2 = M_h^2 + 2p^+q^- \quad (2.39)$$

$$= (p' + q')^2 = M_{h'}^2 + 2p^+q'^- ; \quad (2.40)$$

$$u = (p' - q)^2 = M_{h'}^2 - 2p^+q^- \quad (2.41)$$

$$= (p - q')^2 = M_h^2 - 2p^+q'^- , \quad (2.42)$$

and $\bar{\nu} \equiv p \cdot q = p^+q^-$. Off-forward kinematics will be adopted in the derivation of the sum rule in Section 3.4, using the kinematical choices outlined in Appendix B (Photo/electroproduction).

Generally, a current carrying four-momentum q carries a squared mass given by $q^2 = 2q^+q^- - \mathbf{q}_\perp^2$. Hence, by choosing $q^+ = 0$, one can hold the mass fixed at its space-like value while integrating over the null-plane energy q^- ⁷. This kinematical property of the null-plane formulation is at the heart of its utility. For instance, it is precisely this property which leads to Goldstone's Theorem on the null-plane [33]. As our currents are massless, our treatment of q and q' as “null-vectors” with vanishing momentum facilitates the derivation of the sum rules.

The matrix element Eq. (2.38) can be evaluated by inserting a complete set of states between the moment operators. This sum contains the infinite number of single-particle and continuum states that are connected to the target hadrons by the moment operator. It is convenient to remove the “Born” contributions, where the moment operators are connecting hadron states to themselves. The relevant matrix elements are:

$$\langle h', \lambda'; p' | \mathcal{O}_{(5)\alpha}^{\Gamma(5)}(x^+) | h, \lambda; p \rangle = \int dx^- d^2\mathbf{x}_\perp \Gamma_{(5)} e^{ix \cdot q} \langle h', \lambda'; p' | \tilde{J}_{(5)\alpha}^+(0) | h, \lambda; p \rangle, \quad (2.43)$$

where translational invariance (see Eq. (A.4)) has been used and here $q = (p'^+ - p^+, \mathbf{p}'_\perp - \mathbf{p}_\perp, q^-)$. Therefore the Born contributions ($h = h'$) will be given by the elastic vector and axial-vector form factors of the hadron h and their derivatives in the forward direction.

Contributions from all other states that appear in the completeness sum are efficiently extracted by considering the null-plane time dependence of the moment operators. Using Eq. (A.5) gives

$$\mathcal{O}_\alpha^\Gamma(x^+) | h, \lambda; p \rangle = \frac{i}{(p^- - P^-)} \int dx^- d^2\mathbf{x}_\perp (\partial^r \Gamma) \tilde{J}_\alpha^r(x) | h, \lambda; p \rangle; \quad (2.44)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{5\alpha}^{\Gamma_5}(x^+) | h, \lambda; p \rangle = \frac{i}{(p^- - P^-)} \int dx^- d^2\mathbf{x}_\perp [(\partial^r \Gamma_5) \tilde{J}_{5\alpha}^r(x) + \Gamma_5 \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{5\alpha}(x)] | h, \lambda; p \rangle. \quad (2.45)$$

Note the appearance of the energy denominators which include the null-plane Hamiltonian operator P^- . These are critical in what follows as they give the appropriate energy weightings of the sum rules which are necessary for convergence in the high-energy limit.

⁷This “fixed-mass” limit has nothing to do with short-distance physics and is therefore far from the kinematical region where QCD degrees of freedom may be profitably used. Indeed, this limit is naturally related to a long-distance multipole-like expansion on the transverse plane, as will be seen below.

There is only a single conserved moment, $\mathcal{O}_\alpha^1 = \tilde{Q}_\alpha$, which is the isovector charge, whose non-vanishing matrix elements give the isospin matrix of the hadron,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h', \lambda'; p' | \tilde{Q}_\alpha | h, \lambda; p \rangle &= (2\pi)^3 \delta(q^+) \delta^2(\mathbf{q}_\perp) \langle h', \lambda'; p' | \tilde{J}_\alpha^+(0) | h, \lambda; p \rangle \\ &= (2\pi)^3 2p^+ \delta(q^+) \delta^2(\mathbf{q}_\perp) [T_\alpha]_h \delta_{h'h} \delta_{\lambda'\lambda} . \end{aligned} \quad (2.46)$$

By contrast, the matrix element of the axial charge is an S-matrix element,

$$\langle h', \lambda' | \tilde{Q}_\alpha^5(x^+) | h, \lambda \rangle = (2\pi)^3 2p^+ \delta(q^+) \delta^2(\mathbf{q}_\perp) [X_\alpha(\lambda)]_{h'h} \delta_{\lambda'\lambda} , \quad (2.47)$$

where $[X_\alpha(\lambda)]_{h'h}$ is the hadronic axial-vector coupling matrix. In particular, the Feynman amplitude for the pion transition process $h \rightarrow h' + \pi$ may be written [3]

$$\mathcal{M}_\alpha(p', \lambda', h'; p, \lambda, h) = \frac{i}{F_\pi} (M_h^2 - M_{h'}^2) [X_\alpha(\lambda)]_{h'h} \delta_{\lambda'\lambda} . \quad (2.48)$$

3 Moment sum rules

3.1 Axial-Axial

General case

We will begin with the simplest case. Consider the matrix element between hadronic momentum states of the commutator of axial charges, Eq. (2.25):

$$\langle h', \lambda; p' | [\tilde{Q}_{5\alpha}(x^+), \tilde{Q}_{5\beta}(x^+)] | h, \lambda; p \rangle = i \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \langle h', \lambda; p' | \tilde{Q}_\gamma | h, \lambda; p \rangle . \quad (3.1)$$

Note that because the charges are Lorentz scalars, they do not change the helicity of the hadronic states (they contain only the $\ell = 0$ circular harmonic). Therefore, $\Delta\lambda \equiv \lambda' - \lambda = \ell = 0$. The Born contribution to the left hand side (LHS) of Eq. (3.1) is:

$$\frac{1}{2p^+} (2\pi)^3 \delta(q^+) \delta^2(\mathbf{q}_\perp) \sum_{h''} \langle h', \lambda; p' | \tilde{J}_{5\alpha}^+(0) | h'', \lambda; p \rangle \langle h'', \lambda; p | \tilde{J}_{5\beta}^+(0) | h, \lambda; p \rangle - c.t. \quad (3.2)$$

where *c.t.* refers to the second term in the commutator. The final results are independent of null-plane time and therefore $x^+ = 0$ is taken from the outset. Using Eq. (2.45) and translational invariance, gives for the continuum part of the LHS of Eq. (3.1):

$$(2\pi)^3 \delta(q^+) \delta^2(\mathbf{q}_\perp) \langle h', \lambda | (2\pi)^3 \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{5\alpha}(0) \delta(P^+ - p^+) \delta^2(\mathbf{P}_\perp - \mathbf{p}_\perp) \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{5\beta}(0)}{(P^- - p'^-)(P^- - p^-)} | h, \lambda \rangle - c.t. . \quad (3.3)$$

Defining the structure function

$$w_{\lambda; \alpha\beta}^{h'h}(p, q) \equiv \langle h', \lambda; p | (2\pi)^3 \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{5\alpha}(0) \delta^4(q + p - P) \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{5\beta}(0) | h, \lambda; p \rangle , \quad (3.4)$$

where here $q = (0, \mathbf{0}, q^-)$, Eq. (3.3) can be expressed as

$$(2\pi)^3 2p^+ \delta(q^+) \delta^2(\mathbf{q}_\perp) 2 \int_{\bar{\nu}_T}^\infty \frac{d\bar{\nu}}{\bar{\nu} (2\bar{\nu} + M_h^2 - M_{h'}^2)} w_{\lambda; [\alpha\beta]}^{h'h}(p, q) \quad (3.5)$$

where $\bar{\nu}_T$ is the threshold energy and

$$w_{\lambda;[\alpha\beta]}^{h'h}(p, q) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(w_{\lambda;\alpha\beta}^{h'h}(p, q) - w_{\lambda;\beta\alpha}^{h'h}(p, q) \right). \quad (3.6)$$

The RHS of Eq. (3.1) is

$$(2\pi)^3 \delta(q^+) \delta^2(\mathbf{q}_\perp) i \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \langle h', \lambda; p' | \tilde{J}_\gamma^+(0) | h, \lambda; p \rangle \quad (3.7)$$

where Eq. (2.46) has been used. Finally, matching the LHS and the RHS, and integrating over the target hadron momenta to remove the momentum delta functions, gives the general form of the structure-function sum rule:

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \int_{\bar{\nu}_T}^{\infty} \frac{d\bar{\nu}}{\bar{\nu} (2\bar{\nu} + M_h^2 - M_{h'}^2)} w_{\lambda;[\alpha\beta]}^{h'h}(p, q) \Big|_{q^+=\mathbf{q}_\perp=0} \\ & + \frac{1}{(2p^+)^2} \sum_{h''} \left[\langle h', \lambda; p' | \tilde{J}_{5\alpha}^+(0) | h'', \lambda; p \rangle \langle h'', \lambda; p | \tilde{J}_{5\beta}^+(0) | h, \lambda; p \rangle - c.t. \right] \Big|_{q^+=\mathbf{q}_\perp=0} \\ & = \frac{1}{2p^+} i \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \langle h', \lambda; p' | \tilde{J}_\gamma^+(0) | h, \lambda; p \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Whether the Born term exists or is removed from the integral depends on the particular hadronic targets. The Lorentz-invariant manner of the derivation and integration variables ensure that the sum rule is frame-independent. It is clear that the structure function is related to the imaginary part of the $\pi h \rightarrow \pi h'$ forward scattering amplitude. In the case $h = h'$, the imaginary part of the forward scattering amplitude may be replaced with the corresponding total πh scattering cross-section via the optical theorem, as will be seen below in the nucleon case.

Nucleon sum rule

Here we specialize to the nucleon, $h', h = N$. Using the null-plane decomposition of the nucleon form factors given in Appendix C, we have

$$\langle N, \lambda; p' | \tilde{J}_\alpha^+(0) | N, \lambda; p \rangle = 2p^+ \xi_a^T (T_\alpha)_{ab} \xi_b = 2p^+ \xi^T F_{1\alpha}^V(0) \xi \equiv 2p^+ [T_\alpha]_N, \quad (3.9)$$

where ξ_a ($a = 1, 2$) is the nucleon isospinor, and the Born contribution is

$$(2\pi)^3 2p^+ \delta(q^+) \delta^2(\mathbf{q}_\perp) \xi^T [G_\alpha^A(0), G_\beta^A(0)] \xi. \quad (3.10)$$

With the scattering amplitude conventions of Appendix B, the sum rule becomes

$$\frac{F_\pi^2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\bar{\nu}}{\bar{\nu}^2} \text{Im } \mathcal{T}_{[\alpha\beta]}(\bar{\nu}, 0) + \xi^T [G_\alpha^A(0), G_\beta^A(0)] \xi = i \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \xi^T F_{1\gamma}^V(0) \xi. \quad (3.11)$$

Note that the dependence on helicity has been removed as the sum rule holds for $\lambda = \pm 1/2$. In this form, the sum rule is particularly physically intuitive. It shows that in the presence of spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking, the axial-vector charge is not conserved and therefore

the axial form factor at zero momentum transfer deviates from its unbroken value by the axial-vector charge induced matrix elements that connect the nucleon to all other hadronic states. Using the conventions of Appendix B, the sum rule can be expressed for πp scattering in the variable ν as

$$\frac{4F_\pi^2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\nu}{\nu^2} \text{Im } D^-(\nu, 0) + g_A^2 = 1, \quad (3.12)$$

and finally, using the optical theorem,

$$\boxed{\frac{2F_\pi^2}{\pi} \int_{\bar{\nu}_T}^\infty \frac{d\bar{\nu}}{\bar{\nu}} \left[\sigma^{\pi^- p}(\bar{\nu}) - \sigma^{\pi^+ p}(\bar{\nu}) \right] + g_A^2 = 1.} \quad (3.13)$$

This is the AW sum rule for pion-nucleon scattering in the chiral limit [8, 9]. Here $\bar{\nu}_T$ is the physical threshold. Without the benefit of χ PT, the original papers made various attempts at extrapolating away from the chiral limit (see also Ref. [45]). The leading, universal chiral corrections to the sum rule are obtained in Ref. [46] using χ PT, which in addition performs an updated analysis of the sum rule and finds excellent agreement with experiment.

3.2 Vector-Vector

General case

We will proceed with a derivation of the sum rules relevant to forward Compton scattering. This derivation parallels that of the last section however it is complicated by the presence of the higher moments. Consider the matrix element between hadronic momentum states of the commutator, Eq. (2.27):

$$\langle h', \lambda'; p' | [\tilde{d}_\alpha^r(x^+), \tilde{d}_\beta^s(x^+)] | h, \lambda; p \rangle = i \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \langle h', \lambda'; p' | \tilde{r}_\gamma^{rs}(x^+) | h, \lambda; p \rangle. \quad (3.14)$$

As has been shown above, this commutator can be decomposed into circular harmonics $\ell = 0, \pm 2$. Therefore, in general there are non-trivial sum rules for $\Delta\lambda = \ell = 0, \pm 2$. As in the previous case, the Born contribution will first be extracted from the LHS. One finds

$$\langle h', \lambda'; p' | \tilde{d}_\alpha^r(x^+) | h, \lambda; p \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta(q^+) [i \partial_{\mathbf{p}'}^r \delta^2(\mathbf{q}_\perp)] \langle h', \lambda'; p' | \tilde{J}_\alpha^+(0) | h, \lambda; p \rangle, \quad (3.15)$$

where we are using the notation $\partial_{\mathbf{p}}^r \equiv \partial/\partial p^r$. And the Born contribution to the LHS is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2p^+} (2\pi)^3 \delta(q^+) \int d^2 \mathbf{p}_\perp'' [i \partial_{\mathbf{p}'}^r \delta^2(\mathbf{p}_\perp' - \mathbf{p}_\perp'')] [i \partial_{\mathbf{p}''}^s \delta^2(\mathbf{p}_\perp'' - \mathbf{p}_\perp)] \\ & \times \sum_{h''} \langle h', \lambda'; p' | \tilde{J}_\alpha^+(0) | h'', \lambda''; p'' \rangle \langle h'', \lambda''; p'' | \tilde{J}_\beta^+(0) | h, \lambda; p \rangle - c.t. . \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

The RHS of Eq. (3.14) is

$$(2\pi)^3 \delta(q^+) [i \partial_{\mathbf{p}'}^r i \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^s \delta^2(\mathbf{q}_\perp)] i \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \langle h', \lambda'; p' | \tilde{J}_\alpha^+(0) | h, \lambda; p \rangle. \quad (3.17)$$

Proceeding as in the axial-axial case, the continuum contribution to the LHS is

$$(2\pi)^3 \delta(q^+) \delta^2(\mathbf{q}_\perp) \langle h', \lambda' | (2\pi)^3 \frac{\tilde{J}_\alpha^r(0) \delta(P^+ - p^+) \delta^2(\mathbf{P}_\perp - \mathbf{p}_\perp) \tilde{J}_\beta^s(0)}{(P^- - p'^-)(P^- - p^-)} | h, \lambda \rangle - c.t. . \quad (3.18)$$

Now defining the structure function

$$w_{\lambda'\lambda;\alpha\beta}^{h'h;rs}(p, q) \equiv \langle h', \lambda' ; p | (2\pi)^3 \tilde{J}_\alpha^r(0) \delta^4(q + p - P) \tilde{J}_\beta^s(0) | h, \lambda ; p \rangle , \quad (3.19)$$

and after undergoing various standard manipulations, the general form of the structure-function sum rule is found to be

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\bar{\nu}_T}^\infty \frac{d\bar{\nu}}{\bar{\nu} (2\bar{\nu} + M_h^2 - M_{h'}^2)} \left(w_{\lambda'\lambda;\alpha\beta}^{h'h;rs}(p, q) - w_{\lambda'\lambda;\beta\alpha}^{h'h;sr}(p, q) \right) |_{q^+=\mathbf{q}_\perp=0} \\ & + \frac{1}{(2p^+)^2} \left\{ \sum_{h''} i\partial_{\mathbf{p}'}^r i\partial_{\mathbf{p}'}^s [\langle h', \lambda' ; p' | \tilde{J}_\alpha^+(0) | h'', \lambda'' ; p \rangle \langle h'', \lambda'' ; p | \tilde{J}_\beta^+(0) | h, \lambda ; p \rangle] |_{q^+=\mathbf{q}_\perp=0} \right. \\ & + \sum_{h''} \left[i\partial_{\mathbf{p}'}^r \left(i\partial_{\mathbf{k}}^s [\langle h', \lambda' ; p' | \tilde{J}_\alpha^+(0) | h'', \lambda'' ; k \rangle \langle h'', \lambda'' ; k | \tilde{J}_\beta^+(0) | h, \lambda ; p \rangle] \right) |_{k=p} \right] |_{q^+=\mathbf{q}_\perp=0} \\ & \left. - c.t. \right\} = \frac{1}{2p^+} i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \left(i\partial_{\mathbf{p}'}^r i\partial_{\mathbf{p}'}^s \langle h', \lambda' ; p' | \tilde{J}_\gamma^+(0) | h, \lambda ; p \rangle \right) |_{q^+=\mathbf{q}_\perp=0} . \quad (3.20) \end{aligned}$$

With isovector photons coupled to the vector currents, it is clear that the structure function can be related to the imaginary part of the forward Compton scattering amplitude.

Nucleon sum rules

As the nucleon is a spin one-half object, there is no spin flip sum rule. Therefore as in the previous case, the helicity label will be omitted. Making use of the Compton scattering conventions of Appendix B, and noting that the first Born term cancels against the RHS, one readily finds

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{d\bar{\nu}}{\bar{\nu}^2} C_{\alpha\beta}^{rs}(p, q) |_{q^+=\mathbf{q}_\perp=0} &= \xi^T \left[\left(2[F_{1\alpha}^{V'}(0), F_{1\beta}^V(0)] - \left[\frac{F_{2\alpha}^V(0)}{2m_N}, \frac{F_{2\beta}^V(0)}{2m_N} \right] \right) \delta^{rs} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - i \left\{ \frac{F_{2\alpha}^V(0)}{2m_N}, \frac{F_{2\beta}^V(0)}{2m_N} \right\} \epsilon^{rs} \right] \xi . \quad (3.21) \end{aligned}$$

Note that crossing symmetry has been used to extend the range of integration. Now the parts symmetric and antisymmetric in the isospin indices can be matched using the Compton amplitude decomposition given in Appendix B.

For the antisymmetric part one finds,

$$\int_{\bar{\nu}_T}^\infty d\bar{\nu} W_{1[\alpha\beta]}(\bar{\nu}, 0) = -\xi^T \left(2[F_{1\alpha}^{V'}(0), F_{1\beta}^V(0)] - \left[\frac{F_{2\alpha}^V(0)}{2m_N}, \frac{F_{2\beta}^V(0)}{2m_N} \right] \right) \xi . \quad (3.22)$$

Using the optical theorem (see Appendix B) this sum rule can be expressed in terms of a difference of total cross-sections for isovector photons:

$$\boxed{\frac{1}{3}\langle r^2 \rangle_1^V = \left(\frac{\kappa_V}{2m_N} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2\pi^2\alpha} \int_{\bar{\nu}_T}^{\infty} \frac{d\bar{\nu}}{\bar{\nu}} \left(2\sigma_{1/2}^V(\bar{\nu}) - \sigma_{3/2}^V(\bar{\nu}) \right)} . \quad (3.23)$$

This is the Cabbibo-Radicatti (CR) sum rule [47]. Here σ_I^V is the total cross-section for an isovector photon scattering from a proton to a final hadronic state with isospin I . Early analyses of this sum rule were given in Ref. [48–50] which confirmed the sum rule at the ten-percent level. Given the significant recent experimental progress in measuring the various photoproduction multipoles that dominate this sum rule, it would be interesting to perform an updated analysis of the CR sum rule.

For the symmetric part one finds,

$$\int_{\bar{\nu}_T}^{\infty} \frac{d\bar{\nu}}{\bar{\nu}} [W_{3(\alpha\beta)}(\bar{\nu}, 0) + \bar{\nu} W_{4(\alpha\beta)}(\bar{\nu}, 0)] = \frac{1}{2} \xi^T \left\{ \frac{F_{2\alpha}^V(0)}{2m_N}, \frac{F_{2\beta}^V(0)}{2m_N} \right\} \xi . \quad (3.24)$$

Again using results from Appendix B the sum rule can be expressed in terms of a difference of total cross-sections:

$$\boxed{\left(\frac{\kappa_V}{2m_N} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi^2\alpha} \int_{\bar{\nu}_T}^{\infty} \frac{d\bar{\nu}}{\bar{\nu}} \left(\sigma_P^V(\bar{\nu}) - \sigma_A^V(\bar{\nu}) \right)} . \quad (3.25)$$

This is the (isovector) GDH sum rule [6, 7]⁸. Here σ_P^V (σ_A^V) is the total cross-section for an isovector photon scattering from a nucleon to a final hadronic state with total isospin 1/2 and 3/2 in the parallel (antiparallel) helicity state 3/2 (1/2). Of all the sum rules that we consider, this one has received the most attention⁹, although its basis for validity is clearly no different from that of the CR sum rule. The derivation given here in terms of moments of currents parallels that given in Ref. [39]. Current-algebra derivations that follow from consideration of the currents themselves rather than their moments are given in Refs. [52–54]. These derivations require consideration of the off-forward Compton amplitude.

3.3 Axial-Vector I

General case

Now we will consider the simplest non-trivial commutators of mixed axial-vector type. As the associated scattering processes are inherently non-diagonal, the sum rules will be for the absorptive parts of scattering amplitudes. Consider first the matrix element between hadronic momentum states of the commutator, Eq. (2.28):

$$\langle h', \lambda'; p' | [\tilde{Q}_{5\alpha}(x^+), \tilde{d}_{\beta}^r(x^+)] | h, \lambda; p \rangle = i \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \langle h', \lambda'; p' | \tilde{d}_{5\gamma}^r(x^+) | h, \lambda; p \rangle . \quad (3.26)$$

⁸Note that the isoscalar sum rule as well as the GDH sum rules for the nucleons themselves are obtained by choosing the appropriate currents.

⁹For reviews, see Refs. [40, 51].

As the axial charge is a scalar and the dipole operator changes the helicity of a state by one unit, this commutator decomposes to circular harmonics $\ell = \pm 1$. Therefore, the sum rule necessarily involves helicity flip and $\Delta\lambda = \ell = \pm 1$. Following the now familiar procedure, the Born contribution to the LHS is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2p^+} (2\pi)^3 \delta(q^+) [i\partial_{\mathbf{p}'}^r \delta^2(\mathbf{q}_\perp)] \\ & \times \sum_{h''} \left[\langle h', \lambda'; p' | \tilde{J}_{5\alpha}^+(0) | h'', \lambda''; p' \rangle \langle h'', \lambda''; p' | \tilde{J}_\beta^+(0) | h, \lambda; p \rangle \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \langle h', \lambda'; p' | \tilde{J}_\beta^+(0) | h'', \lambda''; p \rangle \langle h'', \lambda''; p | \tilde{J}_{5\alpha}^+(0) | h, \lambda; p \rangle \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

and the RHS is

$$(2\pi)^3 \delta(q^+) [i\partial_{\mathbf{p}'}^r \delta^2(\mathbf{q}_\perp)] i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \langle h', \lambda'; p' | \tilde{J}_{5\gamma}^+(0) | h, \lambda; p \rangle. \quad (3.28)$$

The continuum contribution is:

$$(2\pi)^3 \delta(q^+) \delta^2(\mathbf{q}_\perp) \langle h', \lambda' | (2\pi)^3 \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{5\alpha}(0) \delta(P^+ - p^+) \delta^2(\mathbf{P}_\perp - \mathbf{p}_\perp) \tilde{J}_\beta^r(0)}{(P^- - p'^-)(P^- - p^-)} | h, \lambda \rangle - c.t. \quad (3.29)$$

and therefore here we define

$$\begin{aligned} w_{\lambda'\lambda;\alpha\beta}^{h'h;r}(p, p', q) & \equiv \langle h', \lambda'; p' | (2\pi)^3 \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{5\alpha}(0) \delta^4(q + p - P) \tilde{J}_\beta^r(0) | h, \lambda; p \rangle - \\ & \quad \langle h', \lambda'; p' | (2\pi)^3 \tilde{J}_\beta^r(0) \delta^4(q + p - P) \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{5\alpha}(0) | h, \lambda; p \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

And finally the general form of the structure-function sum rule is

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\bar{\nu}_T}^\infty \frac{d\bar{\nu}}{\bar{\nu} (2\bar{\nu} + M_h^2 - M_{h'}^2)} w_{\lambda'\lambda;\alpha\beta}^{h'h;r}(p, p', q) |_{q^+=\mathbf{q}_\perp=0} \\ & + \frac{1}{(2p^+)^2} \left[\sum_{h''} \langle h', \lambda'; p' | \tilde{J}_{5\alpha}^+(0) | h'', \lambda''; p' \rangle [i\partial_{\mathbf{p}'}^r \langle h'', \lambda''; p' | \tilde{J}_\beta^+(0) | h, \lambda; p \rangle] \right] |_{q^+=\mathbf{q}_\perp=0} \\ & - c.t. \Big] = \frac{1}{2p^+} i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \left(i\partial_{\mathbf{p}'}^r \langle h', \lambda'; p' | \tilde{J}_{5\gamma}^+(0) | h, \lambda; p \rangle \right) |_{q^+=\mathbf{q}_\perp=0}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

Nucleon sum rule

Using the photoproduction conventions of Appendix B, the nucleon sum rule can be written as

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{d\bar{\nu}}{\bar{\nu}^2} C_{\alpha\beta}^r(p, p', q) |_{q^+=\mathbf{q}_\perp=0} = -2i\xi^T \left\{ G_\alpha^A(0), \frac{F_{2\beta}^V(0)}{2m_N} \right\} \xi \bar{\mathbf{e}}_\perp^r \quad (3.32)$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{e}}_\perp^r$ is defined in Appendix A. This then gives

$$\boxed{g_A \frac{\kappa_V}{2m_N} = \frac{4F_\pi}{e\pi} \int_{\bar{\nu}_T}^\infty \frac{d\bar{\nu}}{\bar{\nu}} \text{Im } A_1^+(\bar{\nu}, 0).} \quad (3.33)$$

This sum rule was first written down by Fubini, Furlan and Rossetti (FFR1) [15, 49, 55] who considered the matrix elements of the current commutators at infinite momentum. The more general sum rule which they derived reduces to Eq. (3.33) in the forward limit. Recent analyses which include chiral corrections using χ PT are given in Refs. [56–58]. As is the case with the GDH sum rule, this sum rule can also be expressed for the isoscalar magnetic moment by choosing the appropriate currents in the derivation. There are also equivalent sum rules where weak axial currents couple to the structure functions and constrain neutrino-nucleon interactions [59].

3.4 Axial-Vector II

General case

Here we will adopt off-forward kinematics using the electroproduction conventions of Appendix B. Consider the matrix element between hadronic momentum states of the commutator, Eq. (2.29):

$$\langle h', \lambda'; p' | [\tilde{Q}_{5\alpha}(x^+), \tilde{r}_{5\gamma}^{rs}(x^+)] | h, \lambda; p \rangle = i \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \langle h', \lambda'; p' | \tilde{r}_{5\gamma}^{rs}(x^+) | h, \lambda; p \rangle. \quad (3.34)$$

As in the vector-vector case, non-trivial sum rules are expected for $\Delta\lambda = \ell = 0, \pm 2$. The Born contribution to the LHS is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2p^+} (2\pi)^3 \delta(q^+) [i \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^r i \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^s \delta^2(\mathbf{q}_\perp)] \\ & \times \left[\sum_{h''} \langle h', \lambda'; p' | \tilde{J}_{5\alpha}^+(0) | h'', \lambda''; p' \rangle \langle h'', \lambda''; p' | \tilde{J}_\beta^+(0) | h, \lambda; p \rangle - c.t. \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

while the RHS is

$$(2\pi)^3 \delta(q^+) [i \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^r i \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^s \delta^2(\mathbf{q}_\perp)] i \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \langle h', \lambda'; p' | \tilde{J}_{5\gamma}^+(0) | h, \lambda; p \rangle. \quad (3.36)$$

And the general structure-function sum rule is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\bar{\nu}_T}^\infty \frac{d\bar{\nu}}{\bar{\nu} (2\bar{\nu} + M_h^2 - M_{h'}^2)} \left[i \partial_{\mathbf{p}'}^r w_{\lambda'\lambda;\alpha\beta}^{h'h;s}(p, p', q) + i \partial_{\mathbf{p}'}^s w_{\lambda'\lambda;\alpha\beta}^{h'h;r}(p, p', q) \right] |_{q^+=\mathbf{q}_\perp=0} \\ & - \frac{1}{(2p^+)^2} \left[\sum_{h''} \langle h', \lambda'; p' | \tilde{J}_{5\alpha}^+(0) | h, \lambda''; p' \rangle \left(i \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^r i \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^s \langle h'', \lambda''; p' | \tilde{J}_\beta^+(0) | h, \lambda; p \rangle \right) \right] |_{q^+=\mathbf{q}_\perp=0} \\ & - c.t. \Big] = - \frac{1}{2p^+} i \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \left(i \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^r i \partial_{\mathbf{p}}^s \langle h', \lambda'; p' | \tilde{J}_{5\gamma}^+(0) | h, \lambda; p \rangle \right) |_{q^+=\mathbf{q}_\perp=0}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

Nucleon sum rule

As the nucleon is a spin one-half object, there is no spin flip and therefore the sum rule is proportional to δ^{rs} . The need for off-forward kinematics becomes clear as the sum rule is for an electroproduction amplitude. The helicity label is omitted and the nucleon sum rule is:

$$- \frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{d\bar{\nu}}{\bar{\nu}^2} i \partial_{\mathbf{p}'}^r C_{\alpha\beta}^r(p, p', q) |_{q^+=\mathbf{q}_\perp=0} + \xi^T [G_\alpha^A(0), F_{1\beta}^V(0)] \xi = i \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \xi^T G_\gamma'^A(0) \xi. \quad (3.38)$$

Matching to the electroproduction amplitudes in Appendix B, only a single amplitude contributes,

$$\boxed{\frac{g_A}{6} \left(\langle r^2 \rangle_1^V - \langle r^2 \rangle^A \right) = \frac{4F_\pi}{e\pi} \int_{\bar{\nu}_T}^{\infty} \frac{d\bar{\nu}}{\bar{\nu}} \text{Im } A_6^-(\bar{\nu}, 0) .} \quad (3.39)$$

This is a second sum rule first found by Fubini, Furlan and Rossetti (FFR2) [15, 49, 55]. A recent analysis of this remarkable sum rule that constrains the nucleon axial radius is given in Ref. [60, 61], which incorporate chiral corrections. The sum rule compares very favorably with experiment and is highly non-trivial as substantial cancellations take place among contributions from distinct regions of the integral.

4 Symmetries of the S-matrix

4.1 Regge model expectations

The sum rules found above imply that the imaginary parts of the relevant forward scattering amplitudes vanish in the Regge limit. Indeed, as described in the introduction, it is well known that all of the sum rules can be derived by writing down an appropriate unsubtracted dispersion relation and assuming the asymptotic behavior suggested by the Regge model. Specializing to nucleon targets, Regge lore suggests the following Regge-limit behavior of the amplitudes for which sum rules have been derived:

$$\mathcal{T}_{[\alpha\beta]}(\bar{\nu}, 0) \xrightarrow{\bar{\nu} \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\nu}^{\alpha_\rho(0)} \quad (4.1)$$

for pion scattering,

$$T_{1[\alpha\beta]}(\bar{\nu}, 0) \xrightarrow{\bar{\nu} \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\nu}^{\alpha_\rho(0)-2} ; \quad (4.2)$$

$$T_{3(\alpha\beta)}(\bar{\nu}, 0) \xrightarrow{\bar{\nu} \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\nu}^{\tilde{\alpha}_P(0)-1} ; \quad (4.3)$$

$$T_{4(\alpha\beta)}(\bar{\nu}, 0) \xrightarrow{\bar{\nu} \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\nu}^{\tilde{\alpha}_P(0)-2} \quad (4.4)$$

for Compton scattering on the nucleon and

$$\mathcal{A}_{1(\alpha\beta)}(\bar{\nu}, 0) \xrightarrow{\bar{\nu} \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\nu}^{\alpha_\omega(0)-1} ; \quad (4.5)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{6[\alpha\beta]}(\bar{\nu}, 0) \xrightarrow{\bar{\nu} \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\nu}^{\alpha_{a_1}(0)-1} \quad (4.6)$$

for pion photo/electroproduction on the nucleon. Here, $\alpha_M(t)$ (with $M = \rho, \omega, a_1$) and $\tilde{\alpha}_P(t)$ are the leading odd- and even-signature Regge trajectories of definite G-parity, respectively [15, 16], corresponding to the appropriate quantum numbers exchanged in the t-channel of the process [62]. Suffice it to say that in all six cases, the intercepts are expected to be such that unsubtracted dispersion relations can be written down. Therefore, the sum rules derived above from QCD current algebra are consistent with Regge model expectations, and it is of interest to express the constraints placed by QCD directly on the Regge-limit amplitudes.

4.2 Dispersive representation

Consider a crossing-even forward scattering amplitude, $\mathbf{t}(\bar{\nu})$, whose asymptotic behavior is *a priori* constrained by the Froissart-Martin bound, which requires $\text{Im } \mathbf{t}(\bar{\nu}) < \bar{\nu} \ln^2 \bar{\nu}$ at large $\bar{\nu}$ [10, 11, 63]. This scattering amplitude therefore satisfies a subtracted dispersion relation

$$\text{Re } \mathbf{t}(\bar{\nu}) - \text{Re } \mathbf{t}(\bar{\nu}_s) = \frac{2}{\pi} (\bar{\nu}^2 - \bar{\nu}_s^2) P \int_{\bar{\nu}_T}^{\infty} \frac{\text{Im } \mathbf{t}(\bar{\nu}') \bar{\nu}' d\bar{\nu}'}{(\bar{\nu}'^2 - \bar{\nu}^2)(\bar{\nu}'^2 - \bar{\nu}_s^2)}, \quad (4.7)$$

where P denotes principal value. At $\bar{\nu} = 0$ the scattering amplitude is real and given by

$$\mathbf{t}(0) = \text{Re } \mathbf{t}(\bar{\nu}_s) - \frac{2\bar{\nu}_s^2}{\pi} P \int_{\bar{\nu}_T}^{\infty} \frac{\text{Im } \mathbf{t}(\bar{\nu}') d\bar{\nu}'}{\bar{\nu}'(\bar{\nu}'^2 - \bar{\nu}_s^2)}. \quad (4.8)$$

Now if $\text{Im } \mathbf{t}(\bar{\nu})$ vanishes asymptotically, which has been found for the amplitudes relevant to the five sum rules derived above, then the limit $\bar{\nu}_s \rightarrow \infty$ can be taken and one finds

$$\mathbf{t}(\infty) = \mathbf{t}(0) - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\bar{\nu}_T}^{\infty} \frac{d\bar{\nu}}{\bar{\nu}} \text{Im } \mathbf{t}(\bar{\nu}), \quad (4.9)$$

where $\mathbf{t}(\infty)$ is the Regge limit amplitude. This is the basic dispersion relation which underlies all of the sum rules that have been derived above.

4.3 Regge limit amplitudes

The nucleon sum rules can now be matched to dispersion theory in order to give explicit expressions for the relevant Regge-limit amplitudes. This is simply a question of expressing the derivations of Section 3 in a slightly different manner. Some detail will be given for the axial-axial case. Using the results of Section 3.1 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle N; p' | \left(i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \tilde{Q}_\gamma - [\tilde{Q}_{5\alpha}(x^+), \tilde{Q}_{5\beta}(x^+)] \right) | N; p \rangle = \\ (2\pi)^3 2p^+ \delta(q^+) \delta^2(\mathbf{q}_\perp) \left[i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} [T_\gamma]_N (1 - g_A^2) - \frac{F_\pi^2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\bar{\nu}}{\bar{\nu}^2} \text{Im } \mathcal{T}_{[\alpha\beta]}(\bar{\nu}, 0) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Now taking $\mathbf{t}(\bar{\nu}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{[\alpha\beta]}(\bar{\nu}, 0)/\bar{\nu}$ and matching Eq. (4.10) and Eq. (4.9) directly gives the Regge-limit value of the amplitude¹⁰

$$\boxed{\frac{\mathcal{T}_{[\alpha\beta]}(\bar{\nu}, 0)}{\bar{\nu}} \Big|_{\bar{\nu} \rightarrow \infty} = \frac{2}{F_\pi^2} \int \frac{dk^+ d^2 \mathbf{k}_\perp}{2k^+ (2\pi)^3} \langle N; k' | \left(i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \tilde{Q}_\gamma - [\tilde{Q}_{5\alpha}(x^+), \tilde{Q}_{5\beta}(x^+)] \right) | N; k \rangle}. \quad (4.11)$$

The AW sum rule then follows directly from the axial-vector charge algebra. If one evaluates this expression in the single-particle approximation using Eqs. (2.46) and (2.47), one recovers the result found originally by Weinberg [3] who derived the pion-hadron scattering amplitude from the most general chiral Lagrangian and extracted the leading term in the high-energy

¹⁰In addition one gets the correct (chiral-limit) low-energy theorem: $D^-(\nu, 0)/\nu|_{\nu=0} = (1 - g_A^2)/2F_\pi^2$.

expansion. This is gratifying as it demonstrates that these results are independent of the choice of quantization surface, as of course must be the case.

The Regge-limit Compton amplitudes are derived in similar fashion, giving

$$\bar{\nu} T_{1[\alpha\beta]}(\bar{\nu}, 0)|_{\bar{\nu} \rightarrow \infty} = -e^2 \delta_{rs} \int \frac{dk^+ d^2 \mathbf{k}_\perp}{2k^+ (2\pi)^3} \langle N; k' | (i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \tilde{r}_\gamma^{rs}(x^+) - [\tilde{d}_\alpha^r(x^+), \tilde{d}_\beta^s(x^+)]) | N; k \rangle \quad (4.12)$$

and

$$[T_{3(\alpha\beta)}(\bar{\nu}, 0) + \bar{\nu} T_{4(\alpha\beta)}(\bar{\nu}, 0)]|_{\bar{\nu} \rightarrow \infty} = -\frac{ie^2}{2} \epsilon^{rs} \int \frac{dk^+ d^2 \mathbf{k}_\perp}{2k^+ (2\pi)^3} \langle N; k' | [\tilde{d}_\alpha^r(x^+), \tilde{d}_\beta^s(x^+)] | N; k \rangle. \quad (4.13)$$

The second result is homogeneous as the omitted term in the moment algebra is antisymmetric in the isospin indices. Hence the CR and the GDH sum rules follow from the current algebra on the transverse plane and in particular, Eq. (2.19). It is important to stress that these sum rules are consequences of more than the symmetry algebra obeyed by the charges; they rely on the infinite dimensional symmetry implied by the current algebra.

The pion photo/electroproduction Regge-limit amplitudes are

$$\mathcal{A}_{1(\alpha\beta)}(\bar{\nu}, 0)|_{\bar{\nu} \rightarrow \infty} = \frac{ie}{2F_\pi} e_\perp^r \int \frac{dk^+ d^2 \mathbf{k}_\perp}{2k^+ (2\pi)^3} \langle N, \uparrow; k' | [\tilde{Q}_{5\alpha}(x^+), \tilde{d}_\beta^r(x^+)] | N, \downarrow; k \rangle \quad (4.14)$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_{6[\alpha\beta]}(\bar{\nu}, 0)|_{\bar{\nu} \rightarrow \infty} = -\frac{e}{4F_\pi} \delta^{rs} \int \frac{dk^+ d^2 \mathbf{k}_\perp}{2k^+ (2\pi)^3} \langle N; k' | (i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \tilde{r}_{5\gamma}^{rs}(x^+) - [\tilde{Q}_{5\alpha}(x^+), \tilde{r}_\beta^{rs}(x^+)]) | N; k \rangle. \quad (4.15)$$

The first result is homogeneous as the omitted term is antisymmetric in the isospin indices. From these Regge-limit amplitudes, the FFR1 and FFR2 sum rules follow, respectively, from the infinite dimensional symmetry implied by current algebra on the transverse plane, and in particular, Eq. (2.20).

Eqs. (4.11-4.15), are the most important results of this paper as they are explicit expressions of Regge-limit amplitudes as matrix elements of QCD operators. As pointed out in the discussion of Section 2.2, if the Regge-limit amplitudes for Compton scattering and/or photo/electroproduction were found to be non-vanishing experimentally, that would indicate the presence of additional terms in the current algebra which involve transverse gradients¹¹. The existence of such terms would break the infinite-dimensional chiral symmetry on the transverse plane and leave only the global symmetry. From the perspective of the closed algebra of Eqs. (2.35-2.37), if these brackets are augmented to include central extensions, then the Regge-limit amplitudes are related to the matrix elements of these extensions. As evidenced by the experimental success of the sum rules, these terms are effectively absent.

While obtained in the chiral limit, the Regge-limit equations are expected to remain valid as the light-quark mass matrix is turned on, and therefore, together with dispersion theory,

¹¹In the language of the Regge model, this corresponds to a fixed pole.

they provide a starting point for the derivation of sum rules that properly account for both chiral corrections and effects due to isospin violation (see, for instance, Ref. [46]). It is also worth noting that in order not to violate the Froissart-Martin bound [10, 11] in the large- N_c limit of QCD, the algebraic constraints recover the large- N_c consistency condition for the baryon axial charges [64–66], and for the baryon magnetic moment and transition magnetic moments [67], as they must¹².

4.4 Transverse multipole expansion

As the forward limit probes long-distance scales, the various commutators formed from the transverse moments of the vector and axial-vector charge distributions clearly obey a hierarchy of scales on the transverse plane. Consider the following operator

$$\mathcal{H}_{(5)\alpha}(\mathbf{R}_\perp) \equiv \int d^2\mathbf{r}_\perp \tilde{F}_{(5)\alpha}(\mathbf{r}_\perp) \Phi(\mathbf{r}_\perp + \mathbf{R}_\perp) , \quad (4.16)$$

where $\tilde{F}_{(5)\alpha}(\mathbf{r}_\perp)$ is the (axial-) vector charge distribution defined in Eq. (2.18) and $\Phi(\mathbf{r}_\perp)$ is a c-number test function that is slowly varying in the vicinity of the charge distribution. Note that we omit dependence on the null-plane time. By considering the nucleon matrix elements of the commutators of Eq. (4.16) with the various transverse moments, one sees the Regge-limit amplitudes emerging as the coefficients of the associated multipole expansion. For instance, one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{dk^+ d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp}{2k^+(2\pi)^3} \langle N; k' | \left([\tilde{Q}_{5\alpha}, \mathcal{H}_\beta(\mathbf{R}_\perp)] - i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \mathcal{H}_{5\gamma}(\mathbf{R}_\perp) \right) | N; k \rangle = \\ \frac{F_\pi}{e} \left[\mathcal{A}_{1(\alpha\beta)}(\infty, 0) i\vec{e}_\perp^r \nabla^r \Phi(\mathbf{R}_\perp) + \mathcal{A}_{6[\alpha\beta]}(\infty, 0) \nabla^2 \Phi(\mathbf{R}_\perp) + \dots \right] , \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

where the trivial commutators have been evaluated to zero. This expansion is interesting as it suggests the existence of an effective field theory that can be formulated entirely on the transverse plane with operators whose coefficients give non-trivial information about high-energy scattering in QCD.

5 Discussion and Conclusions

This work has considered the forward scattering of vector and axial-vector currents on hadronic targets. It has long been known that there is a class of amplitudes whose observed asymptotic behavior is sufficiently soft (softer than the unitarity bound) as to allow the use of unsubtracted dispersion relations to relate the integral over the imaginary part to the amplitude at a low-energy point where it is known from soft-pion or soft-photon theorems. This behavior of forward scattering amplitudes is usually justified using Regge pole theory, which is a well-motivated and highly-successful phenomenological description whose origins in QCD are unclear. In this paper, it has been shown that there is a class of scattering amplitudes whose

¹²See also Refs. [68, 69].

Regge limit values can be calculated exactly, and expressed as matrix elements of null-plane QCD operators, whose behavior is constrained by QCD current algebra on the transverse plane. Specifically, the main conclusions of this paper are:

- Quantizing QCD on light-like hyperplanes leads to light-cone current algebras that are useful for deriving sum rules for processes that scatter currents from arbitrary hadronic targets. In particular, the infinite-dimensional algebra satisfied by null-plane axial-vector and vector charge distributions leads to sum rules that are profitably derived by considering the Lie brackets satisfied by the moments of the charge distributions. By considering the simplest relations, a system of five sum rules was derived, which constrain the scattering of vector and axial currents from arbitrary hadronic targets in accord with the allowed symmetries of QCD. For the case of a nucleon target in the initial and final state, interacting with pions and isovector photons, these sum rules reduce to the Adler-Weisberger (Eq. (3.13), Cabbibo-Radicatti (Eq. (3.23), Gerasimov-Drell-Hearn (Eq. (3.25), and Fubini-Furlan-Rossetti sum rules (Eq. (3.33 and Eq. (3.39)). One interesting implication of this result is that these well-known sum rules are seen to share the same origin.
- The most general way of expressing the sum rules derived in this paper is as statements about the relevant S-matrix elements in the Regge limit, Eqs. (4.11-4.15). In all cases considered, these constraints derived from QCD are consistent with Regge lore. As the algebraic constraints, which are derived in the chiral limit, are not expected to change form in the presence of explicit breaking of chiral symmetry, they serve —when combined with dispersion theory— as the starting point for a derivation of a physical formulation of the sum rules (involving pions) which incorporate the correct quark mass corrections rigorously.
- The moment sum rules illustrate the fundamental importance that algebraic chiral symmetry places on the hadronic world via asymptotic constraints on S-matrix elements. It is clear from the derivation of the sum rules that the algebraic chiral symmetry knows about dynamical chiral symmetry and vice-versa. For instance, from the Lie bracket of axial charges, one can match onto dispersion theory and obtain the scattering amplitude at threshold (see footnote 10), that is, the soft-pion theorem, which is computed using leading-order χ PT in the chiral limit. This is not surprising as the current algebra serves as a chiral Ward identity. Alternatively, one can start in χ PT in the chiral limit with non-linear realization of the chiral symmetry, but include all allowed operators in the calculation of the forward amplitude. One then sees that the Lie bracket satisfied by the axial charges is present in the leading term in a high-energy expansion of the forward amplitude.
- A striking aspect of the results derived in this paper is the two-dimensional nature of the constraints which arise from the infinite-dimensional chiral symmetry that exists on the transverse plane. The two-dimensional nature of the sum rules is rather natural from the perspective of null-plane quantization and has an interesting and intuitive partonic interpre-

tation using renormalization group arguments (see, for instance, Ref. [22]). Here it should be emphasized that from the perspective of QCD degrees of freedom, it is natural to expect that high-energy scattering, and particularly the Regge limit, is governed by the dynamics of partons on the transverse plane [70, 71].

We have focused specifically on those aspects of the Regge limit that appear to be constrained solely by symmetry, which arise, for instance, in the differences of cross-sections. The total cross-sections, governed by the Pomeron in the Regge model language, are also constrained by the current algebra. However, these constraints do not have the simple symmetry interpretation that we have seen here as they involve light-ray operators (light-like correlations). They are therefore substantially more complex and will be considered elsewhere.

We thank A. Cherman, M. Hoferichter and M.J. Savage for valuable conversations. The work of SRB was supported in part by the U.S. National Science Foundation through continuing grant PHY1206498 and by the U.S. Department of Energy through Grant Number DE-SC001347, and work of TJH was supported in part by the U. S. Department of Energy Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics under Award Number DE-FG02-97ER-41014.

A Null-plane conventions

Coordinate conventions

Here we will introduce basic coordinate conventions that will be used throughout the paper. Many additional details and derivations are given in Ref. [33] and in the many reviews on null-plane quantization [18, 19, 31, 72–85].

Consider the light-like vectors n^μ and $\bar{n}^\mu$ which satisfy $n^2 = \bar{n}^2 = 0$ and $n \cdot \bar{n} = 1$. Here we will choose these vectors such that

$$n^\mu \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, 0, 0, -1) \quad , \quad \bar{n}^\mu \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, 0, 0, 1) . \quad (\text{A.1})$$

In the front-form one chooses the initial quantization surface of the system to be on a light-like plane, or null-plane, which is a hypersurface of points in Minkowski space such that $x \cdot n = \tau$. Here τ is a constant which plays the role of time. A null-plane is represented as Σ_n^τ . We will take the initial surface to be the null-plane Σ_n^0 . A coordinate system adapted to null-planes is then specified by the four-vector, $x^\mu = (x^+, x^1, x^2, x^-) = (x^+, \mathbf{x}_\perp, x^-)$, where

$$x^+ \equiv x \cdot n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x^0 + x^3) = \tau , \quad x^- \equiv x \cdot \bar{n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x^0 - x^3) \quad (\text{A.2})$$

are taken as the time variable and “longitudinal” lightlike position, respectively. The remaining spacelike coordinates, $\mathbf{x}_\perp = (x^1, x^2)$ provide the “transverse” position. Note that the components of a transverse vector do not have a $\perp$ symbol; e.g. $\mathbf{x}_\perp^2 = x^r x^r$. The metric

tensor associated with this coordinate choice is

$$\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} = \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

and therefore only the raising and lowering of transverse indices incur a sign change. Translational invariance implies

$$\mathcal{O}(x) = e^{iP \cdot x} \mathcal{O}(0) e^{-iP \cdot x}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

It then follows that a general operator $\mathcal{O}$ with non-trivial null-plane time dependence satisfies

$$[\mathcal{O}(x), P^-] = i \partial_+ \mathcal{O}(x). \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Here P^- is the Hamiltonian that evolves a physical system in null-plane time.

Momentum eigenstates

We will write a general hadronic momentum eigenstate as:

$$|h, \lambda\rangle \equiv |h, \lambda; p^+, \mathbf{p}_\perp\rangle \equiv |h, \lambda; p\rangle. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Note that the label p in the rightmost ket is shorthand for the momentum variables and should not be confused with the four-vector $p = (p^+, \mathbf{p}_\perp, p^-)$. Here the label h includes any additional variables that may be needed to specify the state of the hadron h when it is at rest, and λ is the (total) helicity, the eigenvalue of the kinematical Poincaré generator, $\mathcal{J}_3$:

$$\mathcal{J}_3 |h, \lambda\rangle = \lambda |h, \lambda\rangle. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

In the rest frame of the hadron, $\mathcal{J}_3 = J_3$, the angular momentum operator [14]. The norm of the momentum state is determined by unitarity up to a constant [14],

$$\langle p'^+, \mathbf{p}'_\perp | p^+, \mathbf{p}_\perp \rangle \propto 2p^+ \delta(p'^+ - p^+) \delta^2(\mathbf{p}'_\perp - \mathbf{p}_\perp) \quad (\text{A.8})$$

which we choose so that the completeness relation is:

$$\sum_{\lambda''} \int \frac{dk^+ d^2 \mathbf{k}_\perp}{2k^+ (2\pi)^3} |\lambda''; k^+, \mathbf{k}_\perp\rangle \langle \lambda''; k^+, \mathbf{k}_\perp| = \mathbf{1}. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Note that $P = (P^+, \mathbf{P}_\perp, P^-)$ is the four-vector energy-momentum operator with dispersion relation

$$P^- |h, \lambda; p\rangle = \frac{M^2 + \mathbf{P}_\perp^2}{2P^+} |h, \lambda; p\rangle = \frac{M_h^2 + \mathbf{p}_\perp^2}{2p^+} |h, \lambda; p\rangle = p^- |h, \lambda; p\rangle. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

The momentum-state selection rules relevant to QCD symmetries are worked out in detail in Ref. [86].

Nucleon null-plane spinors

We will adopt the conventions of Ref. [13] which makes use of the Dirac representation of the gamma matrices. What follows is an extension of results from Ref. [19]. (See also Ref. [87].) Defining the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic transverse momenta:

$$p_\perp \equiv p^1 + ip^2 \quad , \quad \bar{p}_\perp \equiv p^1 - ip^2 \quad , \quad (\text{A.11})$$

and with the total Dirac bispinor normalized as

$$\bar{u}_{\lambda'}(p)u_\lambda(p) = 2m_N \delta_{\lambda'\lambda} \quad , \quad (\text{A.12})$$

the nucleon spinors with definite null-plane helicity can be written as

$$u_\uparrow(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{2}\sqrt{p^+}} \begin{pmatrix} p^+ + m_N/\sqrt{2} \\ p_\perp/\sqrt{2} \\ p^+ - m_N/\sqrt{2} \\ p_\perp/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad u_\downarrow(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{2}\sqrt{p^+}} \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{p}_\perp/\sqrt{2} \\ p^+ + m_N/\sqrt{2} \\ \bar{p}_\perp/\sqrt{2} \\ -p^+ + m_N/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad (\text{A.13})$$

where $\uparrow$ ($\downarrow$) denotes $\lambda = 1/2$ ($-1/2$). The bilinears that are relevant for this paper are obtained from the explicit form of the null-plane helicity spinors,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}_\uparrow(p_2)\gamma^+u_\uparrow(p_1) &= 2\sqrt{p_1^+p_2^+} \quad , & \bar{u}_\downarrow(p_2)\gamma^+u_\uparrow(p_1) &= 0 \quad , \\ \bar{u}_\uparrow(p_2)\sigma^{+r}u_\uparrow(p_1) &= 0 \quad , & \bar{u}_\downarrow(p_2)\sigma^{+r}u_\uparrow(p_1) &= 2i\sqrt{p_1^+p_2^+}\mathbf{e}_\perp^r \quad , \\ \bar{u}_\uparrow(p_2)\sigma^{+-}u_\uparrow(p_1) &= \frac{im_N(p_2^+-p_1^+)}{\sqrt{p_1^+p_2^+}} \quad , & \bar{u}_\downarrow(p_2)\sigma^{+-}u_\uparrow(p_1) &= \frac{i(p_1^+p_{2\perp}+p_2^+p_{1\perp})}{\sqrt{p_1^+p_2^+}} \quad , \\ \bar{u}_{\uparrow(\downarrow)}(p_2)\gamma^+\gamma^5u_{\uparrow(\downarrow)}(p_1) &= (-)2\sqrt{p_1^+p_2^+} \quad , & \bar{u}_\downarrow(p_2)\gamma^+\gamma^5u_\uparrow(p_1) &= 0 \quad , \\ \bar{u}_\uparrow(p_2)\gamma^5u_\uparrow(p_1) &= \frac{m_N(p_1^+-p_2^+)}{\sqrt{p_1^+p_2^+}} \quad , & \bar{u}_\downarrow(p_2)\gamma^5u_\uparrow(p_1) &= \frac{(p_2^+p_{1\perp}-p_1^+p_{2\perp})}{\sqrt{p_1^+p_2^+}} \quad , \\ \bar{u}_\uparrow(p_2)\gamma_5\sigma^{+r}u_\uparrow(p_1) &= 0 \quad , & \bar{u}_\downarrow(p_2)\gamma_5\sigma^{+r}u_\uparrow(p_1) &= 2i\sqrt{p_1^+p_2^+}\mathbf{e}_\perp^r \quad , \\ \bar{u}_\uparrow(p_2)\gamma^ru_\uparrow(p_1) &= \frac{(\mathbf{e}_\perp^r p_1^+\bar{p}_{2\perp} + \bar{\mathbf{e}}_\perp^r p_2^+p_{1\perp})}{\sqrt{p_1^+p_2^+}} \quad , & \bar{u}_\downarrow(p_2)\gamma^ru_\uparrow(p_1) &= \frac{\mathbf{e}_\perp^r m_N(p_1^+-p_2^+)}{\sqrt{p_1^+p_2^+}} \quad , \\ \bar{u}_\uparrow(p_2)\gamma_5\gamma^ru_\uparrow(p_1) &= -\frac{(\mathbf{e}_\perp^r p_1^+\bar{p}_{2\perp} + \bar{\mathbf{e}}_\perp^r p_2^+p_{1\perp})}{\sqrt{p_1^+p_2^+}} \quad , & \bar{u}_\downarrow(p_2)\gamma_5\gamma^ru_\uparrow(p_1) &= -\frac{\mathbf{e}_\perp^r m_N(p_1^++p_2^+)}{\sqrt{p_1^+p_2^+}} \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.14})$$

where the two-dimensional vectors are $\mathbf{e}_\perp^r = (1, i)$ and $\bar{\mathbf{e}}_\perp^r = (1, -i)$ and satisfy $\bar{\mathbf{e}}_\perp^r \mathbf{e}_\perp^s = \delta^{rs} + i\epsilon^{rs}$. Note that not all of the relations in Eq. (A.14) are independent.

B Nucleon scattering conventions

Forward pion scattering

We use the standard pion-nucleon scattering conventions of Ref. [63]. In particular the energy is expressed in terms of the variable $\nu = (s - u)/4m_N = \bar{\nu}/m_N$. As we are working strictly

in the chiral limit, some care is needed in the definition of the amplitude. The off-shell Green function relevant to pion-nucleon scattering can be written

$$\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}(p', q'; p, q) = i(M_\pi^2 - q^2)(M_\pi^2 - q'^2) \int d^4x e^{iq \cdot x} \langle N; p' | T(\tilde{\pi}_\alpha(x) \tilde{\pi}_\beta(0)) | N; p \rangle . \quad (\text{B.1})$$

Using Eq. (2.5), and placing the pions on their mass shell (i.e. taking the chiral limit) gives the pion-nucleon scattering amplitude, $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}(\nu, t)$. In the forward direction,

$$\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}(\nu, 0) = \mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}(p, q) = \frac{i}{F_\pi^2} \int d^4x e^{iq \cdot x} \langle N; p | T(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{5\alpha}(x) \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{5\beta}(0)) | N; p \rangle . \quad (\text{B.2})$$

The crossing properties of the amplitude follow from the symmetry of the time-ordered product

$$\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}(\nu, 0) = \mathcal{T}_{\beta\alpha}(-\nu, 0) . \quad (\text{B.3})$$

The forward amplitude has the standard decomposition

$$\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}(\nu, 0) = \bar{u}_\lambda(p) D_{\alpha\beta}(\nu, 0) u_\lambda(p) , \quad (\text{B.4})$$

with

$$D_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} D^+ + 2i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} T_\gamma D^- . \quad (\text{B.5})$$

Therefore

$$\mathcal{T}_{[\alpha\beta]}(\nu, 0) = 2m_N \xi^T (2i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} T_\gamma D^-(\nu, 0)) \xi = 4im_N \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} [T_\gamma]_N D^-(\nu, 0) , \quad (\text{B.6})$$

where Eq. (A.12) has been used. It follows from Eq. (B.3) that

$$D^\pm(\nu, 0) = \pm D^\pm(-\nu, 0) . \quad (\text{B.7})$$

Defining

$$\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}(p, q) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^4x e^{iq \cdot x} \langle N; p | [\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{5\alpha}(x), \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{5\beta}(0)] | N; p \rangle , \quad (\text{B.8})$$

one then finds

$$\text{Im} \mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}(p, q) = \frac{\pi}{F_\pi^2} \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}(p, q) = \frac{\pi}{F_\pi^2} w_{\lambda; \alpha\beta}^{NN}(p, q) , \quad (\text{B.9})$$

where $\lambda = \pm 1/2$. Note that the imaginary part of the scattering amplitude has crossing-symmetry properties that are opposite to that of the scattering amplitude itself due to the presence of the commutator. The optical theorem states

$$\text{Im} D^\pm(\nu, 0) = k \sigma_{tot}^\pm(\nu) , \quad (\text{B.10})$$

where $k = \sqrt{\nu^2 - M_\pi^2} = \nu$ is the lab momentum of the incoming pion, and

$$\sigma_{tot}^\pm(\nu) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sigma_{tot}^{\pi^- p}(\nu) \pm \sigma_{tot}^{\pi^+ p}(\nu) \right) . \quad (\text{B.11})$$

Forward Compton scattering

The forward Compton scattering amplitude is

$$\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu\nu}(p, q) = ie^2 \int d^4x e^{iq \cdot x} \langle N; p | T \left(\tilde{J}_\alpha^\mu(x) \tilde{J}_\beta^\nu(0) \right) | N; p \rangle , \quad (\text{B.12})$$

and can be decomposed as

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu\nu}(p, q) = & \left[q^2 p^\mu p^\nu - \bar{\nu} (p^\mu q^\nu + p^\nu q^\mu) + \bar{\nu}^2 g^{\mu\nu} \right] T_{1\alpha\beta}(\bar{\nu}, q^2) + (q^\mu q^\nu - g^{\mu\nu}) T_{2\alpha\beta}(\bar{\nu}, q^2) \\ & + i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa\delta} s_\kappa q_\delta T_{3\alpha\beta}(\bar{\nu}, q^2) + iq \cdot s \epsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa\delta} p_\kappa q_\delta T_{4\alpha\beta}(\bar{\nu}, q^2) , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.13})$$

where $s^\mu = \bar{u}_\lambda(p) \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 u_\lambda(p)$ is the nucleon four-vector spin. The crossing properties of the amplitude follow from the symmetry of the time-ordered product

$$\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu\nu}(\nu, 0) = \mathcal{T}_{\beta\alpha}^{\nu\mu}(-\nu, 0) . \quad (\text{B.14})$$

One can further define

$$\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu\nu}(p, q) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^4x e^{iq \cdot x} \langle N; p | [\tilde{J}_\alpha^\mu(x), \tilde{J}_\beta^\nu(0)] | N; p \rangle , \quad (\text{B.15})$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}^{rs}(p, q) = w_{\lambda'\lambda; \alpha\beta}^{NN; rs}(p, q) . \quad (\text{B.16})$$

A Lorentz-invariant decomposition is

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu\nu}(p, q) = & \left[q^2 p^\mu p^\nu - \bar{\nu} (p^\mu q^\nu + p^\nu q^\mu) + \bar{\nu}^2 g^{\mu\nu} \right] W_{1\alpha\beta}(\bar{\nu}, q^2) + (q^\mu q^\nu - g^{\mu\nu}) W_{2\alpha\beta}(\bar{\nu}, q^2) \\ & + i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa\delta} s_\kappa q_\delta W_{3\alpha\beta}(\bar{\nu}, q^2) + iq \cdot s \epsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa\delta} p_\kappa q_\delta W_{4\alpha\beta}(\bar{\nu}, q^2) . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.17})$$

It is straightforward to find

$$\text{Im } T_{i\alpha\beta}(\bar{\nu}, q^2) = \pi e^2 W_{i\alpha\beta}(\bar{\nu}, q^2) . \quad (\text{B.18})$$

Again the imaginary part has opposite crossing-symmetry properties to the amplitude itself. The crossing properties that are relevant for this paper are

$$W_{1[\alpha\beta]}(\bar{\nu}, q^2) = W_{1[\alpha\beta]}(-\bar{\nu}, q^2) ; \quad (\text{B.19})$$

$$W_{3(\alpha\beta)}(\bar{\nu}, q^2) = -W_{3(\alpha\beta)}(-\bar{\nu}, q^2) ; \quad (\text{B.20})$$

$$W_{4(\alpha\beta)}(\bar{\nu}, q^2) = W_{4(\alpha\beta)}(-\bar{\nu}, q^2) . \quad (\text{B.21})$$

Defining

$$W_{1[\alpha\beta]}(\bar{\nu}, q^2) = 2i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} [T_\gamma]_N W_1(\bar{\nu}, q^2) ; \quad (\text{B.22})$$

$$W_{3(\alpha\beta)}(\bar{\nu}, q^2) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} W_3(\bar{\nu}, q^2) ; \quad (\text{B.23})$$

$$W_{4(\alpha\beta)}(\bar{\nu}, q^2) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} W_4(\bar{\nu}, q^2) , \quad (\text{B.24})$$

one has the optical theorems [15]

$$\pi e^2 \bar{\nu} W_1(\bar{\nu}, 0) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sigma_{tot}^{\gamma^- p}(\bar{\nu}) - \sigma_{tot}^{\gamma^+ p}(\bar{\nu}) \right) = - \left(2\sigma_{1/2}^V(\bar{\nu}) - \sigma_{3/2}^V(\bar{\nu}) \right) \quad (\text{B.25})$$

where in the last step an isospin rotation has been performed, and

$$\pi e^2 \bar{\nu} (W_3(\bar{\nu}, 0) + \bar{\nu} W_4(\bar{\nu}, 0)) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sigma_P^V(\bar{\nu}) - \sigma_A^V(\bar{\nu}) \right) . \quad (\text{B.26})$$

The various cross-sections are defined in the text.

Photo/electroproduction

The electroproduction amplitude is

$$\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}^\mu(p, p', q) = \frac{ie}{F_\pi} \int d^4x e^{iq \cdot x} \langle N; p' | T \left(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{5\alpha}(x) \tilde{J}_\beta^\mu(0) \right) | N; p \rangle , \quad (\text{B.27})$$

and can be decomposed into Lorentz invariant amplitudes as [88]

$$\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}^\mu(p, p', q) = i\bar{u}_{\lambda'}(p') \gamma_5 \sum_{i=1}^6 \vartheta_i^\mu A_{\alpha\beta}^i(\bar{\nu}, t, q^2) u_\lambda(p) \quad (\text{B.28})$$

where

$$\vartheta_1^\mu = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^\mu \not{q} - \not{q} \gamma^\mu) \quad , \quad \vartheta_2^\mu = P^\mu(2q' \cdot q - q^2) - P \cdot q(2q'^\mu - q^\mu) ; \quad (\text{B.29})$$

$$\vartheta_3^\mu = \gamma^\mu q' \cdot q - \not{q} q'^\mu \quad , \quad \vartheta_4^\mu = 2\gamma^\mu P \cdot q - 2\not{q} P^\mu - m_N(\gamma^\mu \not{q} - \not{q} \gamma^\mu) ; \quad (\text{B.30})$$

$$\vartheta_5^\mu = q^\mu q' \cdot q - q'^\mu q^2 \quad , \quad \vartheta_6^\mu = q^\mu \not{q} - \gamma^\mu q^2 , \quad (\text{B.31})$$

and $P = (p + p')/2$. Here we have generalized q to non-vanishing space-like momentum transfer, $q = (0, \mathbf{q}_\perp, q^-)$ while maintaining $q' = (0, \mathbf{0}, q'^-)$. Therefore, $t = q^2 = -\mathbf{q}_\perp^2$. In the case of photoproduction, only the first four amplitudes are non-vanishing whereas generally all six contribute to electroproduction.

One further defines

$$\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}^\mu(p, p', q) = \int d^4x e^{iq \cdot x} \langle N; p' | [\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{5\alpha}(x), \tilde{J}_\beta^\mu(0)] | N; p \rangle , \quad (\text{B.32})$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}^r(p, p', q) + \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}^r(p, p', -q) = w_{\lambda'\lambda;\alpha\beta}^{NN;r}(p, p', q) . \quad (\text{B.33})$$

A Lorentz-invariant decomposition is

$$\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}^\mu(p, p', q) = i\bar{u}_{\lambda'}(p') \gamma_5 \sum_{i=1}^6 \vartheta_i^\mu \mathcal{W}_{\alpha\beta}^i(\bar{\nu}, q^2) u_\lambda(p) . \quad (\text{B.34})$$

It is straightforward to find

$$\text{Im } A_{i\alpha\beta}(\bar{\nu}, q^2) = \frac{e\pi}{F_\pi} \mathcal{W}_{i\alpha\beta}(\bar{\nu}, q^2) . \quad (\text{B.35})$$

The crossing properties that are relevant for this paper are

$$\mathcal{W}_{1(\alpha\beta)}(\bar{\nu}, q^2) = -\mathcal{W}_{1(\alpha\beta)}(-\bar{\nu}, q^2); \quad (\text{B.36})$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{6[\alpha\beta]}(\bar{\nu}, q^2) = -\mathcal{W}_{6[\alpha\beta]}(-\bar{\nu}, q^2). \quad (\text{B.37})$$

One defines

$$\mathcal{W}_{1(\alpha\beta)}(\bar{\nu}, q^2) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{W}_1^+(\bar{\nu}, q^2) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \frac{F_\pi}{e\pi} \text{Im } A_1^+(\bar{\nu}, q^2); \quad (\text{B.38})$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{6[\alpha\beta]}(\bar{\nu}, q^2) = 2i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} T_\gamma \mathcal{W}_6^-(\bar{\nu}, q^2) = 2i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} T_\gamma \frac{F_\pi}{e\pi} \text{Im } A_6^-(\bar{\nu}, q^2). \quad (\text{B.39})$$

As these amplitudes occur within the nucleon (iso)spinors, it is useful to define the amplitudes with the isospinors present

$$\mathcal{A}_i(\bar{\nu}, q^2) \equiv \xi^T A_i(\bar{\nu}, q^2) \xi. \quad (\text{B.40})$$

C Nucleon form factor conventions

Vector form factor

Our convention for the nucleon vector form factors is

$$\langle N, \lambda'; p' | \tilde{J}_\alpha^\mu(0) | N, \lambda; p \rangle = \bar{u}_{\lambda'}(p') \left[\gamma^\mu F_{1\alpha}^V(q^2) + \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu} q_\nu}{2m_N} F_{2\alpha}^V(q^2) \right] u_\lambda(p), \quad (\text{C.1})$$

with $q = p' - p$ and

$$F_{i\alpha}^V(q^2) \equiv T_\alpha F_i^V(q^2) \quad (\text{C.2})$$

with $i = 1, 2$ and $T_\alpha = \tau_\alpha/2$, and $F_1^V(q^2)$ and $F_2^V(q^2)$ are the Dirac and Pauli form factors, respectively. The isovector and isoscalar form factors decompose into proton and neutron form factors as:

$$F_i^V(q^2) = F_i^p(q^2) - F_i^n(q^2), \quad F_i^S(q^2) = F_i^p(q^2) + F_i^n(q^2), \quad (\text{C.3})$$

and $F_1^p(0) = 1$, $F_1^n(0) = 0$, $F_2^p(0) = \kappa_p$, and $F_2^n(0) = \kappa_n$, and therefore $F_1^V(0) = F_1^S(0) = 1$, $F_2^V(0) = \kappa_p - \kappa_n = \kappa_V$ and $F_2^S(0) = \kappa_p + \kappa_n = \kappa_S$. The electromagnetic form factors are then given by

$$F_i^{EM}(q^2) = \frac{1}{2} F_i^S(q^2) \mathbf{1} + F_i^V(q^2) T_3. \quad (\text{C.4})$$

The q^2 expansion of the Dirac form factor gives

$$F_1^V(q^2) = 1 + \frac{q^2}{6} \langle r^2 \rangle_1^V + \dots \quad (\text{C.5})$$

so that the isovector Dirac radius is

$$\langle r^2 \rangle_1^V = 6 \frac{dF_1^V(q^2)}{dq^2} \Big|_{q^2=0} = 6F_1^{V'}(0). \quad (\text{C.6})$$

The form factors can be expressed in terms of nucleon matrix elements using the null-plane momentum eigenstates and spinor decomposition given in Appendix A. Noting that $q^+ = 0$ in all matrix elements that we consider, one then readily finds

$$\langle N, \uparrow; p' | \tilde{J}_\alpha^+(0) | N, \uparrow; p \rangle = \langle N; \downarrow; p' | \tilde{J}_\alpha^+(0) | N; \downarrow; p \rangle = 2p^+ \xi^T F_{1\alpha}^V(q^2) \xi; \quad (\text{C.7})$$

$$\langle N; \uparrow; p' | \tilde{J}_\alpha^+(0) | N; \downarrow; p \rangle = -2p^+ \bar{q}_\perp \xi^T \frac{F_{2\alpha}^V(q^2)}{2m_N} \xi; \quad (\text{C.8})$$

$$\langle N; \downarrow; p' | \tilde{J}_\alpha^+(0) | N; \uparrow; p \rangle = 2p^+ q_\perp \xi^T \frac{F_{2\alpha}^V(q^2)}{2m_N} \xi. \quad (\text{C.9})$$

Axial form factor

The nucleon axial-vector form factor is

$$\langle N, \lambda'; p' | \tilde{J}_{5\alpha}^\mu(0) | N, \lambda; p \rangle = \bar{u}_{\lambda'}(p') \left[\gamma^\mu G_\alpha^A(q^2) + \frac{q^\mu}{2m_N} G_\alpha^P(q^2) \right] \gamma_5 u_\lambda(p). \quad (\text{C.10})$$

where

$$G_\alpha^{A,P}(q^2) = T_\alpha G^{A,P}(q^2). \quad (\text{C.11})$$

The q^2 expansion of the axial form factor is

$$G^A(q^2) = g_A \left(1 + \frac{q^2}{6} \langle r^2 \rangle^A + \dots \right) \quad (\text{C.12})$$

so that the axial root-mean square radius is

$$g_A \langle r^2 \rangle^A = 6 \frac{dG^A(q^2)}{dq^2} \Big|_{q^2=0} = 6G^{A'}(0). \quad (\text{C.13})$$

The axial form factors can be expressed in terms of nucleon matrix elements using the null-plane momentum eigenstates and spinor decomposition give in Appendix A. Noting that $q^+ = 0$ in all matrix elements that we consider, one then readily finds

$$\langle N, \uparrow; p' | \tilde{J}_{5\alpha}^+(0) | N, \uparrow; p \rangle = -\langle N; \downarrow; p' | \tilde{J}_{5\alpha}^+(0) | N; \downarrow; p \rangle = 2p^+ \xi^T G_\alpha^A(q^2) \xi; \quad (\text{C.14})$$

$$\langle N; \uparrow; p' | \tilde{J}_{5\alpha}^+(0) | N; \downarrow; p \rangle = 0. \quad (\text{C.15})$$

References

- [1] S. Weinberg, *Phenomenological Lagrangians*, *Physica* **A96** (1979) 327.
- [2] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, *Chiral Perturbation Theory to One Loop*, *Annals Phys.* **158** (1984) 142.

- [3] S. Weinberg, *Algebraic realizations of chiral symmetry*, *Phys.Rev.* **177** (1969) 2604–2620.
- [4] S. Weinberg, *Algebraic structure of superconvergence relations*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **22** (1969) 1023–1025.
- [5] S. Weinberg, *Mended symmetries*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **65** (1990) 1177–1180.
- [6] S. Gerasimov, *A Sum rule for magnetic moments and the damping of the nucleon magnetic moment in nuclei*, *Sov.J.Nucl.Phys.* **2** (1966) 430–433.
- [7] S. Drell and A. C. Hearn, *Exact Sum Rule for Nucleon Magnetic Moments*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **16** (1966) 908–911.
- [8] S. L. Adler, *Calculation of the axial vector coupling constant renormalization in beta decay*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **14** (1965) 1051–1055.
- [9] W. I. Weisberger, *Renormalization of the Weak Axial Vector Coupling Constant*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **14** (1965) 1047–1051.
- [10] M. Froissart, *Asymptotic behavior and subtractions in the Mandelstam representation*, *Phys. Rev.* **123** (1961) 1053–1057.
- [11] A. Martin, *Unitarity and high-energy behavior of scattering amplitudes*, *Phys. Rev.* **129** (1963) 1432–1436.
- [12] P. A. Dirac, *Forms of Relativistic Dynamics*, *Rev.Mod.Phys.* **21** (1949) 392–399.
- [13] J. B. Kogut and D. E. Soper, *Quantum Electrodynamics in the Infinite Momentum Frame*, *Phys.Rev.* **D1** (1970) 2901–2913.
- [14] H. Leutwyler and J. Stern, *Relativistic Dynamics on a Null Plane*, *Annals Phys.* **112** (1978) 94.
- [15] V. de Alfaro, S. Fubini, G. Furlan, and C. Rossetti, *Currents in Hadron Physics*. North-Holland Amsterdam, 1973.
- [16] D. A. Dicus, R. Jackiw, and V. L. Teplitz, *Tests of light cone commutators: fixed mass sum rules*, *Phys.Rev.* **D4** (1971) 1733–1754.
- [17] M. Burkardt, *Impact parameter space interpretation for generalized parton distributions*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A18** (2003) 173–208, [[hep-ph/0207047](#)].
- [18] M. Diehl, *Generalized parton distributions*, *Phys. Rept.* **388** (2003) 41–277, [[hep-ph/0307382](#)].
- [19] A. Belitsky and A. Radyushkin, *Unraveling hadron structure with generalized parton distributions*, *Phys.Rept.* **418** (2005) 1–387, [[hep-ph/0504030](#)].
- [20] S. Weinberg, *Strong interactions at low-energies*, [hep-ph/9412326](#).
- [21] S. Weinberg, *Dynamic and Algebraic Symmetries*, in *Lectures on Elementary Particles and Quantum Field Theory* **V1** (1971).
- [22] A. Casher and L. Susskind, *Chiral magnetism (or magnetohydrochironics)*, *Phys.Rev.* **D9** (1974) 436–460.
- [23] A. Casher and L. Susskind, *A quark model of mesons based on chiral symmetry*, *Phys.Lett.* **B44** (1973) 171–174.
- [24] L. Susskind and M. Burkardt, *A Model of mesons based on $\chi(SB)$ in the light front frame*, [hep-ph/9410313](#).

- [25] J. Jersak and J. Stern, *Algebra of currents on the light cone*, *Nuovo Cim.* **A59** (1969) 315–327.
- [26] E. Eichten, F. Feinberg, and J. Willemssen, *Current and constituent quarks in the light-cone quantization*, *Phys.Rev.* **D8** (1973) 1204–1219.
- [27] F. Feinberg, *Algebraic realization of chiral symmetry on the light cone*, *Phys.Rev.* **D7** (1973) 540–549.
- [28] R. D. Carlitz, D. Heckathorn, J. Kaur, and W.-K. Tung, *Chiral Symmetry and the Quark Model*, *Phys.Rev.* **D11** (1975) 1234.
- [29] H. Sazdjian and J. Stern, *Traces of Chiral Symmetry on Light Planes*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B94** (1975) 163.
- [30] Y. Kim, S. Tsujimaru, and K. Yamawaki, *Can the Nambu-Goldstone boson live on the light front?*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **74** (1995) 4771–4774, [[hep-ph/9502214](#)].
- [31] K. Yamawaki, *Zero mode problem on the light front*, [hep-th/9802037](#).
- [32] M.-H. Wu and W.-M. Zhang, *Chiral symmetry in light front QCD*, *JHEP* **0404** (2004) 045, [[hep-ph/0310095](#)].
- [33] S. R. Beane, *Broken Chiral Symmetry on a Null Plane*, *Annals Phys.* **337** (2013) 111–142, [[arXiv:1302.1600](#)].
- [34] S. R. Beane, *Goldstones Theorem on a Light-Like Plane*, *Few Body Syst.* **56** (2015), no. 6-9 523–529, [[arXiv:1508.0080](#)].
- [35] J. M. Cornwall and R. Jackiw, *Canonical light cone commutators*, *Phys. Rev.* **D4** (1971) 367–378.
- [36] R. Jackiw, *Canonical light-cone commutators and their applications*, *Springer Tracts Mod. Phys.* **62** (1972) 1–28.
- [37] S. Weinberg, *The quantum theory of fields. Vol. 2: Modern applications*, .
- [38] S. Weinberg, *Precise relations between the spectra of vector and axial vector mesons*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **18** (1967) 507–509.
- [39] D. Dicus and D. Palmer, *Drell-hearn sum rule from light cone current commutators*, *Phys.Rev.* **D6** (1972) 720–722.
- [40] R. Pantforder, H. Rollnik, and W. Pfeil, *The Gerasimov-Drell-Hearn sum rule and the infinite momentum limit*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C1** (1998) 585–592, [[hep-ph/9703368](#)].
- [41] K. Symanzik, *Continuum Limit and Improved Action in Lattice Theories. 1. Principles and ϕ^{*4} Theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B226** (1983) 187.
- [42] B. Sheikholeslami and R. Wohlert, *Improved Continuum Limit Lattice Action for QCD with Wilson Fermions*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B259** (1985) 572.
- [43] P. Goddard and D. I. Olive, *Kac-Moody and Virasoro Algebras in Relation to Quantum Physics*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A1** (1986) 303.
- [44] V. G. Kac, *Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebras*. Cambridge University Press, Aug., 1994.
- [45] L. S. Brown, W. J. Pardee, and R. D. Peccei, *Adler-Weisberger theorem reexamined*, *Phys. Rev.* **D4** (1971) 2801–2810.

- [46] S. R. Beane and N. Klco, *The Adler-Weisberger Discrepancy, in preparation* (2015).
- [47] N. Cabibbo and L. Radicati, *Sum rule for the isovector magnetic moment of the nucleon*, *Phys.Lett.* **19** (1966) 697–699.
- [48] F. J. Gilman and H. J. Schnitzer, *Symmetry Predictions from Sum Rules without Saturation*, *Phys. Rev.* **150** (1966) 1362–1371.
- [49] S. L. Adler and F. J. Gilman, *Partially conserved axial-vector current restrictions on pion photoproduction and electroproduction amplitudes*, *Phys. Rev.* **152** (1966) 1460–1467.
- [50] C. A. Dominguez and H. Moreno, *Present Status of the Cabibbo-Radicati Sum Rule*, *Phys. Rev.* **D13** (1976) 616.
- [51] D. Drechsel, S. S. Kamalov, and L. Tiator, *The GDH sum rule and related integrals*, *Phys. Rev.* **D63** (2001) 114010, [[hep-ph/0008306](#)].
- [52] K. Kawarabayashi and M. Suzuki, *Sum Rules for Magnetic Moments from Commutation Relations between Current Densities*, *Phys. Rev.* **150** (1966) 1181–1182.
- [53] D. A. Dicus and V. L. Teplitz, *Tests of light cone commutators. 2.*, *Phys. Rev.* **D6** (1972) 2262. [Erratum: *Phys. Rev.* **D6**, 1942(1973)].
- [54] D. A. Dicus and D. R. Palmer, *Generalized fixed-mass sum rules*, *Annals Phys.* **79** (1973) 68–98.
- [55] S. Fubini, G. Furlan, and C. Rossetti, *A dispersion theory of symmetry breaking*, *Nuovo Cim.* **A40** (1965) 1171–1193.
- [56] R. A. Arndt and R. L. Workman, *The Fubini-Furlan-Rosetti sum rule reexamined*, [nucl-th/9503002](#).
- [57] B. Pasquini, D. Drechsel, and L. Tiator, *The Fubini-Furlan-Rosetti sum rule revisited*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **A23** (2005) 279–289, [[nucl-th/0412038](#)].
- [58] V. Bernard, B. Kubis, and U.-G. Meissner, *The Fubini-Furlan-Rosetti sum rule and related aspects in light of covariant baryon chiral perturbation theory*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **A25** (2005) 419–425, [[nucl-th/0506023](#)].
- [59] M. Gorchtein and X. Zhang, *Forward Compton Scattering with weak neutral current: constraints from sum rules*, *Phys. Lett.* **B747** (2015) 305–309, [[arXiv:1501.0535](#)].
- [60] B. Pasquini, D. Drechsel, and L. Tiator, *Invariant amplitudes for pion electroproduction*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **A34** (2007) 387–403, [[arXiv:0712.2327](#)].
- [61] D. Drechsel, B. Pasquini, and L. Tiator, *A Dispersive approach to pion photo- and electroproduction*, *Few Body Syst.* **41** (2007) 13–29, [[arXiv:0712.0572](#)].
- [62] P. D. B. Collins, *An Introduction to Regge Theory and High-Energy Physics*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 2009.
- [63] G. Höhler, *Methods and Results of Phenomenological Analyses*, vol. 9b2 of *Elementary Particles, Nuclei and Atoms*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1983.
- [64] J.-L. Gervais and B. Sakita, *Large N QCD Baryon Dynamics: Exact Results from Its Relation to the Static Strong Coupling Theory*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **52** (1984) 87.
- [65] R. F. Dashen and A. V. Manohar, *Baryon - pion couplings from large $N(c)$ QCD*, *Phys.Lett.* **B315** (1993) 425–430, [[hep-ph/9307241](#)].

- [66] R. F. Dashen, E. E. Jenkins, and A. V. Manohar, *The $1/N(c)$ expansion for baryons*, *Phys.Rev.* **D49** (1994) 4713, [[hep-ph/9310379](#)].
- [67] E. E. Jenkins and A. V. Manohar, *Baryon magnetic moments in the $1 / N(c)$ expansion*, *Phys. Lett.* **B335** (1994) 452–459, [[hep-ph/9405431](#)].
- [68] W. Broniowski, *Low-energy sum rules and large N -c consistency conditions*, *Nucl. Phys.* **A580** (1994) 429–444, [[hep-ph/9402206](#)].
- [69] A. Wirzba, M. Kirchbach, and D. O. Riska, *Higher resonance contributions to the Adler-Weisberger sum rule in the large $N(c)$ limit*, *J. Phys.* **G20** (1994) 1583–1590, [[hep-ph/9311299](#)].
- [70] L. N. Lipatov, *Asymptotic behavior of multicolor QCD at high energies in connection with exactly solvable spin models*, *JETP Lett.* **59** (1994) 596–599. [*Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* 59,571(1994)].
- [71] A. V. Belitsky, V. M. Braun, A. S. Gorsky, and G. P. Korchemsky, *Integrability in QCD and beyond*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A19** (2004) 4715–4788, [[hep-th/0407232](#)].
- [72] G. P. Lepage and S. J. Brodsky, *Exclusive Processes in Perturbative Quantum Chromodynamics*, *Phys. Rev.* **D22** (1980) 2157.
- [73] K. Hornbostel, *Constructing hadrons on the light cone*, [CLNS-90-1038](#), [C90-09-20.1](#).
- [74] R. J. Perry, *Hamiltonian light front field theory and quantum chromodynamics*, [hep-th/9407056](#).
- [75] W.-M. Zhang, *Light front dynamics and light front QCD*, *Chin.J.Phys.* **32** (1994) 717–808, [[hep-ph/9412244](#)].
- [76] M. Burkardt, *Light front quantization*, *Adv.Nucl.Phys.* **23** (1996) 1–74, [[hep-ph/9505259](#)].
- [77] A. Harindranath, *An Introduction to light front dynamics for pedestrians*, [hep-ph/9612244](#).
- [78] S. J. Brodsky, H.-C. Pauli, and S. S. Pinsky, *Quantum chromodynamics and other field theories on the light cone*, *Phys.Rept.* **301** (1998) 299–486, [[hep-ph/9705477](#)].
- [79] R. J. Perry, *Light front QCD: A Constituent picture of hadrons*, [hep-th/9710175](#).
- [80] G. A. Miller, *Light front treatment of nuclei: Formalism and simple applications*, *Phys.Rev.* **C56** (1997) 2789, [[nucl-th/9706028](#)].
- [81] R. Venugopalan, *Introduction to light cone field theory and high-energy scattering*, [nucl-th/9808023](#).
- [82] T. Heinzl, *Light cone quantization: Foundations and applications*, *Lect.Notes Phys.* **572** (2001) 55–142, [[hep-th/0008096](#)].
- [83] G. A. Miller, *Light front quantization: A Technique for relativistic and realistic nuclear physics*, *Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys.* **45** (2000) 83–155, [[nucl-th/0002059](#)].
- [84] D. Mustaki, *Chiral symmetry and the constituent quark model: A null plane point of view*, [hep-ph/9404206](#).
- [85] K. Itakura and S. Maedan, *Light front realization of chiral symmetry breaking*, *Prog.Theor.Phys.* **105** (2001) 537–571, [[hep-ph/0102330](#)].

- [86] D. E. Soper, *Infinite-momentum helicity states*, *Phys. Rev.* **D5** (1972) 1956–1962.
- [87] S. J. Brodsky, S. Gardner, and D. S. Hwang, *Discrete symmetries on the light front and a general relation connecting nucleon electric dipole and anomalous magnetic moments*, *Phys.Rev.* **D73** (2006) 036007, [[hep-ph/0601037](#)].
- [88] V. Bernard, N. Kaiser, and U.-G. Meissner, *Chiral dynamics in nucleons and nuclei*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **E4** (1995) 193–346, [[hep-ph/9501384](#)].